arXiv:1409.3949v1 [math.AG] 13 Sep 2014

RIGID LOCAL SYSTEMS AND WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS CURVES

ORLANDO NETO AND PEDRO C. SILVA

Abstract. We introduce a notion of rigid local system on the complement of a plane curve Y, which relies on a canonical Waldhausen decomposition of the Milnor sphere associated to Y. We show that when Y is weigthed homogeneous this notion is deeply related to the classical notion of rigidity on the Riemann sphere. We construct large families of rigid local systems on the complement of weighted homogeneous plane curves and show that the corresponding $\mathfrak{D}$-modules are generated by 'special' multivalued holomorphic functions.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the class of Fuchsian differential equations,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \frac{d^i u}{dx^i} = 0, \tag{1}$$

with singular points at $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ and ∞. Set $p_0 = \infty$. Let $M_i \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the monodromy of the sheaf of solutions $\mathcal{S}$ of (1) along a loop γ_i around p_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$. We call the conjugacy class of M_i the *local monodromy* of $\mathcal{S}$ around p_i. We will assume that we have chosen the loops γ_i in such a way that

$$M_0 M_1 \cdots M_r = \mathbf{I}_n. \tag{2}$$

Since the singular points of (1) are regular, the degrees of the polynomials a_i are bounded and (1) only depends on a finite number p of complex numbers. Assume that we have normalized (1) replacing u by $\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x-p_i)^{\lambda_i} u$, where the λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, are conveniently chosen complex numbers, in order to maximize the number of eigenvalues of the matrices M_i, $1 \leq i \leq r$, that are equal to 1. If q denotes the number of 'free' eigenvalues of the matrices M_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$, then $p \geq q$. If $p = q$ we say that (1) is *free from accessory parameters* [16, Section 3.4.2].

The fact that Riemann's hypergeometric differential equation is free from accessory parameters was the key point that allowed Riemann to compute its monodromy. Riemann's strategy can be described in the following way: (i) *to classify a certain class of irreducible linear representations of a fundamental group* [11, Section 2.4]; (ii) *to classify a class of differential equations*

Key words and phrases. Rigid local system; Waldhausen decomposition.

The authors thank Pierre Deligne [3] for his valuable remarks on [26].

with prescribed order and prescribed singularities [1]; (iii) *to establish a bijection between these two classes of objects using "local data": the roots of the indicial equations of the differential equation at the singular points and the conjugacy classes of certain matrices associated to the representations, that describe the local monodromies of the differential equation.*

Levelt [20] established a vast generalization of Riemann's work following the same strategy.

The theory of rigid local systems is a very ambitious reformulation, in the framework of sheaf cohomology, of the notion of Fuchsian differential equation free from accessory parameters (see [15, 28]).

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{p_0, \ldots, p_r\}$. Let D_i be small closed disks centered at p_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$. The local system $\mathcal{L}$ is *rigid* if $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by its restriction to the boundaries ∂D_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$. In other words, assuming that $\mathcal{L}$ is given by matrices M_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$, verifying (2), $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid if and only if the conjugacy classes of the matrices M_i determine the simultaneous conjugacy class of the $(r+1)$-uple $(M_0, \ldots, M_r)$. A Fuchsian differential equation is free from accessory parameters if and only if its sheaf of solutions is rigid (cf. [15]). This type of equations have been intensively studied by several authors, notably by Haraoka and Yokoyama (see, for instance, [8, 9, 10, 30]).

Sato, Kashiwara, Kimura and Oshima [25, Theorem 8.6 and Remark 8.7] introduced a very interesting higher dimensional generalization of the notion of accessory parameters. Let $Y = Y_{n,k,\ell}$ and $Y^* = Y^*_{n,k,\ell}$ be weighted homogeneous plane curves defined, respectively, by the equations

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}(y^k - C_i x^n) = 0 \tag{3}$$

and

$$y\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}(y^k - C_i x^n) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where C_i, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, are pairwise distinct nonzero complex numbers and n, k, ℓ are positive integers such that $n > k$ with n and k coprime integers.

Theorem 1.1. *Set $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\vartheta = kx\partial_x + ny\partial_y$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the germ at the origin of a simple holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module with characteristic variety $T^*_Y X \cup T^*_X X$. There are complex numbers $\lambda, \lambda_{r,s}$, $r, s \geq 0$, $ns - k(\ell+1) \leq r \leq (n-k)s - 1$, and $\widehat{C}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, such that $\mathfrak{M}$ is isomorphic to the system*

$$(\vartheta - \lambda)u = Pu = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $P = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}(\partial_x^k + \widehat{C}_i x^{n-k}\partial_y^k) + \sum_{r,s}\lambda_{r,s}x^r\partial_x^{r-ns+k(\ell+1)}\partial_y^{ks}$.

The complex numbers $\widehat{C}_i$ are determined by the complex numbers C_i, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let p be the number of parameters $\lambda, \lambda_{r,s}$ that occur in the system (5). Let M_i be the local monodromy of the sheaf of solutions of $\mathfrak{M}$ around the regular part of $\{y^k - C_i x^n = 0\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then M_i is a

pseudo-reflection: it has one and only one eigenvalue distinct from 1, with multiplicity 1. Hence the number of 'free' eigenvalues of the matrices M_i equals ℓ. These eigenvalues are determined by the orders of $\mathfrak{M}$ at the regular part of irreducible components of its characteristic variety. The authors of [25] showed that $p \geq \ell$ for each system of type (5). Moreover, they showed that $p = \ell$, i.e., that $\mathfrak{M}$ is *free from accessory parameters*, if and only if $\ell \leq 2$ when $\min\{k, n-k\} = 1$ and $\ell = 1$, otherwise. Systems without accessory parameters are supposed to be interesting objects. It is somehow disappointing that there are so few systems with this property. We can also find in [25] a similar discussion when we replace Y by Y^*.

In [22] the authors extended the classification Theorem 1.1 to the multiplicity one case when Y is an irreducible cusp. They proved that already in this case the $\mathfrak{D}$-modules are no longer determined by their orders at the regular part of the characteristic variety. Some (finite) additional data at the singular point has also to be considered. Nevertheless, this additional data can be recovered by taking the restriction of the $\mathfrak{D}$-module to certain non-characteristic divisors passing through the singular point of its ramification locus. We propose here a refinement of the notion of local data to encompass these local monodromies.

There is a close relationship between the systems of PDEs of the previous two paragraphs and Fuchsian differential equations on the Riemann sphere.

Set $v(x,y) = y^{-\lambda/n}u(x,y)$. Since $\vartheta v = 0$, v is constant along the integral curves of ϑ. Hence there is a multivalued holomorphic function φ on the Riemann sphere, ramified along $0, \infty, C_i, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$, such that $u(x,y) = y^{\lambda/n}\varphi(y^k/x^n)$. Moreover, there is a Fuchsian differential operator H such that

$$y^{-\lambda/n}Py^{\lambda/n}\varphi(y^k/x^n) = (H\varphi)(y^k/x^n). \tag{6}$$

When $\ell = 1$, the system is free from acessory parameters and H is a generalized hypergeometric differential operator in the terminology of [20] (see also [22, Theorem 5.3]). If in addition $k = 2$, H is the Riemann's hypergeometric differential operator.

There is a dictionary between the category of systems of linear differential equations on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with singular regular points at $p_0, \ldots, p_r$ and the category of local systems on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{p_0, \ldots, p_r\}$. There is a similar dictionary between a certain category of regular holonomic systems with solutions ramified along a singular hypersurface Y and a certain category of local systems on the complement of Y, that we call *Pochhammer* local systems (see Section 4). If L is a generic line transversal to Y, the monodromy of the restriction of a Pochhammer local system to $L \setminus Y$ define a Pochhammer tuple (cf. [4]).

The main purposes of this paper are: to introduce the notion of rigid local system on the complement of a plane curve Y; to show that when Y is weighted homogeneous our notion of rigidity is deeply related to the classical notion of rigidity on the Riemann sphere; to show that if we replace simple holonomic system by holonomic system of multiplicity one in the

problem considered in [25], we can prove the existence of rigid holonomic systems with solutions ramified along an weighted homogeneous curve and prescribed local data; to establish the foundations of a local theory of special functions on several complex variables (see Theorem 8.9, Definition 10 and Theorem 8.8).

This paper is essentially the study of a certain class of irreducible linear representations of certain discrete groups, the fundamental groups of weighted homogeneous plane curves, written in the language of local systems. In Section 2 we recall some definitions on the topology of plane curves that are necessary to introduce the definition of rigid local system. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of rigid local system on the complement of a plane curve using a canonical Waldhausen decomposition of the Milnor sphere associated to the curve. When the curve is weighted homogeneous we show that this notion is closely related to the classical definition of rigidity on the Riemann sphere. Roughly speaking, it replaces the boundaries of small disks at the singular points in the Riemann sphere by the boundaries of tubular neighbourhoods of the irreducible components of the link of the curve in the Milnor sphere. In Section 4 we discuss the relation between Pochhammer local systems and Pochhammer tuples. In sections 5, 6 and 7 we construct rigid Pochhammer local systems on the complement of weighted homogeneous curves, solving convenient Deligne-Simpson's type problems. In Section 8 we relate the notion of Pochhammer local system with the theory of $\mathfrak{D}$-modules through the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. We prove in particular that the existence of a Pochhammer system on the complement of a weighted homogeneous plane curve Y implies the existence of a special multivalued holomorphic function that ramifies along Y.

This is the first of a series of papers on rigid local systems on the complement of hypersurfaces. Our next purpose is to extend step (i) of Riemann's program referred before to the case of an arbitrary plane curve. We can find in [26, 27] a construction of Pochhammer local systems on the complement of an irreducible plane curve following the same ideas. Most of these local systems are rigid. Steps (ii) and (iii) will be further developped in another forthcoming paper, at least for the weighted homogeneous case. These steps were already partially accomplished in the irreducible weighted homogeneous case (cf. [22]).

2. Geometric settings

2.1. **Weighted homogeneous curves and turbines.** Let n, k be coprime positive integers such that $n > k$. Let ℓ be a positive integer. Following the terminology of the previous section we set,

$$Y_0 = \{y = 0\}, \qquad Y_i = \{(x, y) : y^k - C_i x^n = 0\}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq \ell,$$

with the C_i's pairwise distinct nonzero complex numbers. Choose $\rho > 0$ small enough such that the 'fat curves',

(7)
$$\widetilde{Y}_0 = \{(x,y) : |y| \leq \rho|x|\}, \quad \widetilde{Y}_i = \{(t^k, \lambda t^n) : |\lambda^k - C_i| \leq \rho, t \in \mathbb{C}\},\ 1 \leq i \leq \ell,$$

do not intersect each other outside a fixed small neighborhood of the origin. For $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ set,

$$K_i := Y_i \cap \partial(D_\varepsilon \times D_\delta), \qquad N_i := \widetilde{Y}_i \cap \partial(D_\varepsilon \times D_\delta).$$

The topological 3-dimensional sphere $\partial(D_\varepsilon \times D_\delta)$ is the union of the solid tori $N_\infty := \partial D_\varepsilon \times D_\delta$ and $N^\infty := D_\varepsilon \times \partial D_\delta$, pieced together along their common boundary $\partial D_\varepsilon \times \partial D_\delta$. The knots K_i, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, are torus knots of type (n,k) and the knot K_0 is the trivial knot. For convenient choices of $\delta \gg \varepsilon > 0$, the tubular neighbourhoods N_i of K_i, $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, are pairwise disjoint and contained in the interior of N_∞. Set

$$T_{n,k,\ell} = N_\infty \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{int}(N_i), \qquad T^*_{n,k,\ell} = N_\infty \setminus \cup_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathrm{int}(N_i).$$

We call a topological space homeomorphic to $T_{n,k,\ell}$ [$T^*_{n,k,\ell}$] a *turbine* without [with] shaft and parameters n, k, ℓ. The turbines $T_{n,k,\ell}$, $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ are, respectively, retracts by deformation of the complement of the plane curves,

$$x\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}(y^k - C_i x^n) = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$xy\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}(y^k - C_i x^n) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Given an weighted homogeneous plane curve Y we shall denote by T_Y the turbine associated to Y by the construction above.

For each $i = 0, \ldots, \ell, \infty$, let α_i, β_i be the homotopy classes of positively oriented simple closed curves on ∂N_i such that $\alpha_i \sim 0$ and $\beta_i \sim K_i$ in $H_1(N_i)$, $\ell(K_i, \alpha_i) = 1$ and $\ell(K_i, \beta_i) = 0$, where $\ell(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the linking number inside the oriented homology 3-sphere $\partial(D_\varepsilon \times D_\delta)$. For each $i = 0, \ldots, \ell, \infty$, the pair α_i, β_i generates $\pi_1(\partial N_i)$ and is unique up to isotopy. We call α_i [β_i], the *standard meridian* [*parallel*] of the torus ∂N_i.

For $i = 0, \infty$, set $\delta_i = \alpha_i^n \beta_i^k$ and $\omega_i = \alpha_i^s \beta_i^r$, where r, s are integers such that $rn = ks+1$. Then $\alpha_i = \delta_i^r \omega_i^{-k}$ and $\beta_i = \delta_i^{-s} \omega_i^n$, $i = 0, \infty$. By the results of [23, Lemma 2.3], we have $\delta_0 = \delta_\infty = \alpha_i^{nk} \beta_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, in $\pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell})$, which we denoted by δ. Thus, ω_i, δ generate $\pi_1(\partial N_i)$ $i = 0, \infty$ and α_i, δ generate $\pi_1(\partial N_i)$ $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Moreover, next result holds.

Theorem 2.1. *The following hold.*

(a) $\pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell}) = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \omega_0, \omega_\infty : \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell \omega_\infty = \omega_0 \rangle \times \langle \delta \rangle$.

(b) $\pi_1(T_{n,k,\ell})$ *is isomorphic to the quotient group of* $\pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell})$ *by the relation* $\omega_0^k = \delta^r$.

(c) *The fundamental group of the complement of the curve* $Y^*_{n,k,\ell}$ $[Y_{n,k,\ell}]$ *is isomorphic to the quotient group of* $\pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell})$ $[\pi_1(T_{n,k,\ell})]$ *by the relation* $\omega_\infty^n = \delta^s$.

Proof. (a) follows from [23, Lemma 2.3]. The remaining assertions follow from (a) and obvious geometric considerations. □

Remark 1. *The presentations given in Theorem 2.1 are independent of the choice of the pair of integers* r, s *verifying* $rn = sk+1$. *Actually, if* $r', s' \in \mathbb{Z}$ *is another pair verifying* $r'n = s'k + 1$, *there is* $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $r' = r + tk$ *and* $s' = s + tn$, *and it is enough to replace* ω_i, $i = 0, \infty$, *by* $\omega'_i = \omega_i \delta^t$.

From Theorem 2.1 we derive easily the following well-known presentation of the local fundamental group of a cusp.

Corollary 2.2. *The fundamental group of the complement of the cusp* $y^k = x^n$, *is given by*

$$\pi_1(Y_{n,k,1}) = \langle \alpha_\infty, \beta_0 \,:\, \alpha_\infty^n = \beta_0^k \rangle.$$

We conclude with another corollary of Theorem 2.1 that will be very useful in Section 6.

Corollary 2.3. *We have the relation in* $\pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell})$,

$$\alpha_\infty = g_0(g_{0,1} \cdots g_{0,\ell})\,(g_{1,1} \cdots g_{1,\ell}) \cdots (g_{k-1,1} \cdots g_{k-1,\ell}),$$

where $g_0 = \alpha_0$, $g_{j,i} = \omega_0^{k-1-j} \alpha_i \omega_0^{1-k+j}$, $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ *and* $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. *Moreover by letting* $g_0 = 1$ *in relation above, we obtain the analogous expression for* α_∞ *in* $\pi_1(T_{n,k,\ell})$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 along with relations $\alpha_i = \delta^r \omega_i^{-k}$, $i = 0, \infty$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_\infty &= \delta^r \omega_\infty^{-k} \\
&= \delta^r (\omega_0^{-1} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell)^k \\
&= \alpha_0 \omega_0^k (\omega_0^{-1} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell)^k \\
&= \alpha_0 \omega_0^{k-1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell)(\omega_0^{1-k} \omega_0^{k-2})(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell)(\omega_0^{2-k} \omega_0^{k-3}) \cdots \omega_0^{-1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell) \\
&= \alpha_0 (\omega_0^{k-1} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell \omega_0^{1-k})(\omega^{k-2} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell \omega^{2-k}) \cdots (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2.2. **Waldhausen decomposition of the Milnor sphere associated to a plane curve.** Let V be a 3-dimensional manifold. We say that V is a *Seifert manifold* if V is decomposed into circles, the *fibers*, such that each fiber has a tubular neighborhood difeomorphic (preserving fibers) to a *standard fibered torus*.

Let M^3 be an oriented connected compact 3-dimensional manifold. A *Waldhausen decomposition* of M^3 is a finite partition $M^3 = \bigsqcup_k \mathcal{T}_k \bigsqcup_j V_j$ where $(\mathcal{T}_k)$ is family of 2-tori and (V_j) a family of Seifert manifolds. We say that the Waldhausen decomposition is *minimal* if the number of 2-tori $(\mathcal{T}_k)$ is minimum. We say that the Waldhausen decomposition is *adapted* to

a given 1-dimensional submanifold of M^3 if each irreducible component of this manifold is a fiber of one of the Seifert manifolds V_j (see [17]).

Let $(Y,0)$ be a germ of a plane curve defined by a reduced analytic function $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}\{x,y\}$. Assume moreover that the tangent cone of Y is transversal to $\{x=0\}$. For $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, the 3-dimensional sphere $S^3_\varepsilon = \{(x,y) : |x|^2 + |y|^2 = \varepsilon^2\}$ intersects Y transversally into an (algebraic) link $\mathbf{L}$. By Milnor's cone Theorem, the topology of the complement of Y is determined by the topology of the 3-dimensional compact connected manifold $S^3_\varepsilon \setminus \mathrm{int}(N(\mathbf{L}))$, where $N(\mathbf{L})$ is a sufficiently thin closed tubular neighbourhood of $\mathbf{L}$.

We decompose the *Milnor sphere* S^3_ε into the union of two solid tori N_∞ and N^∞ pieced together along their common boundary $\partial N_\infty = \partial N^\infty$ such that $N(\mathbf{L}) \subset \mathrm{int}(N_\infty)$. Let K^∞ be the core of N^∞.

Theorem 2.4. [17, Theorem 4.5.1] *There is a minimal Waldhausen decomposition of S^3_ε adapted to the components of the link $\mathbf{L} \cup K^\infty$. Moreover, this decomposition is unique (up to isotopy).*

Remark 2. *We can find in* [23] *a simple construction of a minimal Waldhausen decomposition of S^3_ε determined by a family of tori $(\mathcal{T}_k)$ that includes the torus ∂N_∞, such that the closure of each connected component of $N_\infty \setminus \bigcup_k \mathcal{T}_k$ is a turbine. Furthermore, this decomposition is described by a tree similar to the Eggers tree of Y.*

3. Rigid local systems

3.1. **Review on rigid local systems on the Riemann sphere.** Set $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ where $S = \{0, C_1, \ldots, C_\ell, \infty\}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $\ell+2$ elements, and let $j : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the inclusion map. Set $C_0 = 0$ and $C_\infty = \infty$. Let $\widetilde{D}_i \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell, \infty$, be disjoint closed disks of nonzero radii centered at C_i, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell, \infty$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be local system on U. We call *local data* of $\mathcal{F}$ to the collection of local systems $\mathcal{F}|_{\partial \widetilde{D}_i}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell, \infty$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *rigid* if $\mathcal{F}$ is determined by its local data (up to isomorphism). We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *semi-rigid* if there are only a finite number of isomorphism classes of local systems on U with local data isomorphic to the local data of $\mathcal{F}$.

The *index of rigidity* of $\mathcal{F}$ in U is defined as $\mathrm{rig}(\mathcal{F}, U) = \chi(\mathbb{P}^1, j_* \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}))$. Using the Euler-Poincaré formula we derive the formula,

$$\mathrm{rig}(\mathcal{F}, U) = \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\ldots,\ell,\infty\}} \dim \Gamma(\partial \widetilde{D}_i, \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F})) - \ell \, (\mathrm{rank}\, \mathcal{F})^2.$$

Theorem 3.1. [15, Theorem 1.1.2] *An irreducible local system $\mathcal{F}$ on U is rigid if and only if is semi-rigid if and only if $\mathrm{rig}(\mathcal{F}, U) = 2$.*

3.2. **Rigid local systems on the complement of a plane curve.**

Definition 1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system defined on the complement of the germ (at the origin) of a plane curve Y with tangent cone transversal to $\{x=0\}$. Let $S^3_\varepsilon = \bigsqcup_k \mathcal{T}_k \bigsqcup_j V_j$ be a minimal Waldhausen decomposition of a Milnor sphere S^3_ε in the conditions of Theorem 2.4. We call* local data *of $\mathcal{L}$ to the collection of local systems $(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{T}_k})$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is* $\mathcal{W}$-rigid *if the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by the local data of $\mathcal{L}$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is* $\mathcal{W}$-semi-rigid *if there are only a finite number of isomorphism classes of local systems on the complement of Y with local data isomorphic to the local data of $\mathcal{L}$.*

By Theorem 2.4 the minimal Waldhausen decomposition is unique modulo an isotopy. Hence the definition of local data does not depend on the choice of this decomposition.

We shall refer to a $\mathcal{W}$-rigid [$\mathcal{W}$-semi-rigid] local system simply as rigid [semi-rigid].

Definition 2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on a turbine T. We call* local data *of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial T}$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is* rigid *if the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by the local data $\mathcal{L}$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is* semi-rigid *if there are only a finite number of isomorphism classes of local systems on T with local data isomorphic to the local data of $\mathcal{L}$.*

The connected components of the boundary of a turbine T are tori, say $\mathcal{T}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_t$, and henceforth the local data of $\mathcal{L}$ is the collection of simultaneous conjugacy classes of the pairs $(\varrho(a_i), \varrho(b_i))$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, with a_i, b_i generators of $H_1(\mathcal{T}_i)$ and $\varrho : \pi_1(T) \to \mathrm{GL}(m)$ the monodromy representation of $\mathcal{L}$.

In view of Remark 2 we have immediately the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Y be an weighted homogeneous plane curve with tangent cone $\{y=0\}$ and let T_Y be a turbine associated to Y. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system defined on the complement of Y. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid if and only if $\mathcal{L}|_{T_Y}$ is rigid. A similar conclusion holds if we replace rigid by semi-rigid.*

Let $Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}$ be the curve defined by (9). Given $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$, let $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ be the one-dimensional representation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}) \simeq \pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell})$ given by $\widehat{\varepsilon}(\delta) = \varepsilon$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i) = \widehat{\varepsilon}(\omega_0) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$ be the rank one local system on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}$ whose monodromy representation is $\widehat{\varepsilon}$.

Set $S = \{C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_\ell, C_\infty\}$ and consider the map $\gamma : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ given by $\gamma(x,y) = (y^k : x^n)$. The homotopy class of each fiber of γ is given by δ. Let Σ^ε be the category of local systems on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}$ with scalar monodromy $\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{Id}$ along the fibers of γ and let $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{L}}$ be the functor that associates to an object of Σ^ε the unique local system $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ such that $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L} \simeq \gamma^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{L}}$.

Lemma 3.3. *The functor $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is an equivalence of categories such that $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible [rigid, semi-rigid] if and only if $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is irreducible [rigid, semi-rigid].*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an object of Σ^ε. The monodromy of $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is trivial along the fibers of γ. Hence $\underline{\mathcal{L}} := \gamma_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ is a local system on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$. Conversely, if $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is a local system on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$, $\gamma^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is a local system on the complement of $Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}$ with trivial monodromy along the fibers of γ. Thus $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \otimes \gamma^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ has scalar monodromy ε along the fibers of γ. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \otimes \gamma^{-1}\left(\gamma_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L})\right) \simeq \mathcal{L}, \qquad \gamma_*\left(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \left(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \otimes \gamma^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{L}}\right)\right) \simeq \underline{\mathcal{L}}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S) \times \langle\delta\rangle$, where $\langle\delta\rangle$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by δ. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible if and only if $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is irreducible.

Let $\bar\gamma$ be the restriction of γ to $\partial(D_\varepsilon \times D_\delta) \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell} \equiv T^*_{n,k,\ell}$. There are disjoint closed disks $\widetilde{D}_i \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ with centers C_i, $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell, \infty$, such that $\overline{\gamma}^{-1}(\partial\widetilde{D}_i) = \partial N_i$, $i = 0, \dots, \ell$, and $\overline{\gamma}^{-1}(\partial\widetilde{D}_\infty) = \partial N_\infty = \partial N^\infty$. Thus a local system $\mathcal{L} \in \Sigma^\varepsilon$ is determined by $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial T^*_{n,k,\ell}}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \in \Sigma^1$ is determined by $(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L})|_{\partial T^*_{n,k,\ell}}$ if and only if $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is determined by its restriction to $\bigcup_{i=0,\dots,\ell,\infty} \partial\widetilde{D}_i$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid [semi-rigid] if and only if $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is rigid [semi-rigid]. □

In the sequel we identify a local system on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^\circ_{n,k,\ell}$ with its restriction to $T^* = T^*_{n,k,\ell}$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $\mathcal{L}^*$ is an irreducible local system on T^*, $\mathcal{L}^* \in \Sigma^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and*

$$rig(\underline{\mathcal{L}}^*, \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S) = \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,\ell,\infty\}} \dim \Gamma(\partial N_i, End(\mathcal{L}^*)) - \ell(\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L}^*)^2.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}^*$ is rigid if and only if $rig(\underline{\mathcal{L}}^*, \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S) = 2$.

Proof. Keep the notations of the proof of Lemma 3.3. Since δ is in the center of $\pi_1(T^*)$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$ is irreducible, $\mathcal{L}^*$ has scalar monodromy along the fibers of $\overline{\gamma}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}^* \in \Sigma^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.3 there is an irreducible local system $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ such that $\mathcal{L}^* \simeq (\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon)|_{T^*} \otimes \overline{\gamma}^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) = 1$, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L}^*) = \operatorname{rank}(\underline{\mathcal{L}})$. Since $\overline{\gamma}^{-1}(\partial\widetilde{D}_i) = \partial N_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell, \infty$, $\dim \Gamma(\partial N_i, \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{L}^*)) = \dim \Gamma(\partial\widetilde{D}_i, \operatorname{End}(\underline{\mathcal{L}}))$. The proof now follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. □

We denote $\operatorname{rig}(\mathcal{L}^*, T^*) = \operatorname{rig}(\underline{\mathcal{L}}^*, \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S)$, which we refer as the *index of rigidity* of $\mathcal{L}^*$.

For the turbine without shaft we have a weaker result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on T. The following holds:*

(*i*) *$\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible if and only if $\mathcal{L}|_{T^*}$ is irreducible.*
(*ii*) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid, $\mathcal{L}|_{T^*}$ is rigid.*
(*iii*) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible and rigid, $rig(\mathcal{L}|_{T^*}, T^*) = 2$.*

Proof. (i) follows from the fact that $\pi_1(T)$ is a quotient group of $\pi_1(T^*)$ (cf. Theorem 2.1). Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be a local system defined in T^* with the same local data of $\mathcal{L}|_{T^*}$, that is, $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial N_i} \simeq \mathcal{F}^*|_{\partial N_i}$, $i = 0, \ldots, \ell, \infty$. Since $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial N_0} \simeq \mathcal{F}^*|_{\partial N_0}$, we can glue $\mathcal{F}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{N_0}$ along ∂N_0 and construct a local system $\mathcal{F}$ on T with the same local data of $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid, $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{F}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}|_{T^*} \simeq \mathcal{F}^*$.

(iii) follows from Theorem 3.4 taking into account (i) and (ii). □

4. Pochhammer local systems

4.1. **Pochhammer local systems and Pochhammer tuples.** Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a finite subset of a simply connected open subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$. Set $U = \Omega \setminus A$. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow \Omega$ be the open inclusion. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on U and set $V = \mathcal{L}_a$, for some fixed point $a \in U$. Consider loops $\gamma_i \in \pi_1(U, a)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, verifying

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{\gamma_i} \frac{dx}{x - a_j} = \delta_{i,j}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let $\varrho : \pi_1(U, a) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be the monodromy representation of $\mathcal{L}$. For each $\gamma \in \pi_1(U, a)$ set $V^\gamma = \ker(\varrho(\gamma) - \mathbf{1}_V)$.

Lemma 4.1. [21, 26] *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on U. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *There is a unique decomposition $V = \oplus_{i=1}^n W_i$ such that $V^{\gamma_i} = \oplus_{j \neq i} W_j$;*
- (b) $\dim V = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{codim} V^{\gamma_i}$ *and* $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V^{\gamma_i} = \{0\}$*;*
- (c) $H^*(\Omega, j_*\mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Note that Pochhammer local systems were called *hypergeometric* in [21, 26].

Definition 3. *We say that a local system $\mathcal{L}$ on U is* Pochhammer *if it verifies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.1.*

A matrix $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a *pseudo-reflection* if $\operatorname{codim} \ker(M - \mathbf{I}_n) = 1$. The determinant of a pseudo-reflection M is an eigenvalue of M, called the *special eigenvalue* of M. Unless otherwise stated, we always assume the special eigenvalue of a pseudo-reflection distinct from one.

Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is Pochhammer. We call *multiplicity* of $\mathcal{L}$ at a point $a_i \in A$ to the codimension of V^{γ_i} and we denote it by $\mathrm{mult}_{a_i}(\mathcal{L})$. If $\mathrm{mult}_{a_i}(\mathcal{L}) = 1$, we call *special eigenvalue* of $\mathcal{L}$ at a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, to the special eigenvalue of the pseudo-reflection $\varrho(\gamma_i)$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ has *multiplicity one* if $\mathrm{mult}_{a_i}(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Assume that $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{a_1, \ldots, a_n, \infty\}$ and choose simple loops γ_i around a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, in such a way that $\gamma_\infty := \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ is a simple loop around

∞. Denote by A_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n, \infty$, the matrices $\varrho(\gamma_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n, \infty$, w.r.t. some basis of V.

Next result follows from [7, Theorem 1.1] and Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider nonzero complex numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \eta_1, \eta_2$, such that $\lambda_i \neq 1, \eta_1$ for all i, $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$, and $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = \eta_1^{n-1}\eta_2$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ set*

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & (\lambda_1 - \eta_1)\eta_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & \\ & & 1 & (\lambda_{i-1} - \eta_1)\eta_1^{-1} & & \\ & & & \lambda_i & & \\ & & & \lambda_{i+1} - \eta_1 & 1 & \\ & & & \vdots & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_n - \eta_1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Set $A_\infty := A_1 \cdots A_n$. Then $(A_1, \cdots, A_n, A_\infty)$ determines the monodromy representation of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on U and special eigenvalue λ_i at a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that A_∞ is conjugated to $\eta_1 \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \oplus \eta_2$.

We call the tuple $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n; \eta_1, \eta_2)$ of Theorem 4.2 the *numerical local data* of $\mathcal{L}$ and the tuple of matrices $(A_1, \ldots, A_n, A_\infty)$ a *Pochhammer tuple* (cf. [4]), which determines the monodromy of a Pochhammer local system of differential equations with regular singular points at $a_1, \ldots, a_n, \infty$ and no logarithmic solution. We refer to [7] for a definition of Pochhammer system of differential equations.

Next result shows that the local systems of theorem Theorem 4.2 exhausted the class of rigid irreducible Pochhammer local systems of multiplicity one on the punctured Riemann sphere (up to isomorphism).

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on U with semi-simple monodromy around infinity. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let A_i be the pseudo-reflection determined by the local monodromy of $\mathcal{L}$ around a_i and let $\lambda_i \neq 1$ be its special eigenvalue. There are distinct nonzero complex numbers η_1, η_2 verifying $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \neq \eta_1$ and $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = \eta_1^{n-1}\eta_2$, such that the monodromy around infinity , $A_\infty = A_1 \cdots A_n$, is conjugated to $\eta_1 \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \oplus \eta_2$. In particular, $(A_1, \ldots, A_n, A_\infty)$ is a Pochhammer tuple.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}$ has multiplicity one and special eigenvalue $\lambda_i \neq 1$ at a_i all centralizers $Z(A_i) = \{B \in \mathrm{GL}(n) : A_i B = B A_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, have dimension $(n-1)^2 + 1$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid we have by Theorem 3.1,

$$\mathrm{rig}(\mathcal{L}, U) = -(n-1)n^2 + n((n-1)^2 + 1) + \dim Z(A_\infty) = 2.$$

Hence $\dim Z(A_\infty) = (n-1)^2+1$. Let $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_s$ be the multiplicities of the distinct eigenvalues of A_∞. Clearly $s \geq 2$. Since A_∞ is semi-simple, $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i^2 = \dim Z(A_\infty) = n^2 + 2(1-n)$. Since

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^s d_i\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} d_i d_j = n^2,$$

$\sum_{i<j} d_i d_j = n-1$. In particular, $d_1(n-d_1) \leq n-1$. Since $d_1(n-d_1) > n-1$, if $d_1 > 1$, $s = 2$ and $d_1 = 1$. Therefore A_∞ is conjugated to $\eta_1 \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \oplus \eta_2$ for some (nonzero) distinct complex numbers $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$. The theorem now follows from the irreducibility conditions of [7, Proposition 1.3] and relation $\det(A_\infty) = \det(A_1 \cdots A_n)$. $\square$

Let $T_Y = N \setminus \bigcup_{i\in I} N_i$ be a turbine associated to a weighted homogeneous plane curve Y with irreducible componentes Y_i, $i \in I$. Set $U = T_Y \cap L$, with L a generic line transversal to Y. Then U is a retract by deformation of $L \setminus A$, where $A = L \cap Y$.

Definition 4. *We say that a local system $\mathcal{F}$ on T_Y is* Pochhammer *if $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is a Pochhammer local system on U. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ has* multiplicity μ_i *along ∂N_i if $\mathcal{F}|_U$ has multiplicity μ_i at some point of $Y_i \cap L$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ has* multiplicity one *on T_Y if $\mathcal{F}|_U$ has multiplicity one on U.*

Next result shows that an analogue of Theorem 3.4 also holds for turbines without shaft, at least for Pochhammer local systems.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible and Pochhammer on a turbine with shaft $T_{n,k,\ell}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is rigid if and only if $rig(\mathcal{L}|_{T^*_{n,k,\ell}}, \mathcal{L}) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a local system on $T = T_{n,k,\ell}$ with the same local data of $\mathcal{L}$. Set $T^* = T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ and set $\mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{K}|_{T^*}$. If $\mathcal{K}|_{\partial N_0} \simeq \mathcal{L}|_{\partial N_0}$, $\mathcal{K}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$ would have have the same local data on the shaft, which imply, by the rigidity of $\mathcal{L}^*$ that $\mathcal{L}^* \simeq \mathcal{K}^*$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial N_0}$ has trivial monodromy, $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}$. Hence it is enough to prove that $\varrho_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega_0)$ and $\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)$ are conjugated, where $\varrho_{\mathcal{H}} : \pi_1(T) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$ denote the monodromy representation of a local system $\mathcal{H}$ of rank m on T.

By (i) $\varrho_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha_\infty)$ does not have the eigenvalue one. Since this condition is invariant by conjugation, the same holds for $\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_\infty)$. By condition (b) of Lemma 4.1 $\mathcal{K}$ is a Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one and rank $m = k\ell$. By Corollary 2.3 we have

$$\alpha_\infty = (g_{0,1} \cdots g_{0,\ell})\,(g_{1,1} \cdots g_{1,\ell}) \cdots (g_{k-1,1} \cdots g_{k-1,\ell}),$$

with $g_{j,i} = \omega_0^{k-1-j} \alpha_i \omega_0^{1-k+j}$, $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$.

Set $V_{j,i} = \ker(\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(g_{j,i}) - \mathbf{I}_m)$. Since $\dim \ker(\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_\infty) - \mathbf{I}_m) = 0$,

$$\bigcap_{i,j} V_{j,i} \subset \ker(\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_\infty) - \mathbf{I}_m) = (0). \tag{11}$$

Since $\operatorname{codim}\ker(\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_i)-\mathbf{I}_m)=1$, $i=1,\dots,\ell$, we get, by similar arguments to Lemma 4.1 a unique decomposition into linear spaces of rank one,

$$\mathbb{C}^m=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1}\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell}U_{j,i},\qquad V_{j,i}=\bigoplus_{(q,p)\neq(j,i)}U_{q,p}.$$

Now, we have the equivalences,

$$\begin{aligned}u\in V_{j,i}&\Leftrightarrow\ \varrho_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\omega_0^{k-j-1}\alpha_i\omega_0^{j-k+1}\right)(u)=u\\&\Leftrightarrow\ \varrho_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\omega_0^{k-j}\alpha_i\omega_0^{j-k}\right)(\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)(u))=\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)(u)\\&\Leftrightarrow\ \varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)(u)\in V_{j-1,i}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)$ maps $V_{j,i}$ isomorphically onto $V_{j-1,i}$, for $j=1,\dots,k-1$ and $i=1,\dots,\ell$. Since $\omega_0^k=\delta^r$, $\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)$ also maps $V_{0,i}$ isomorphically onto $V_{k-1,i}$ for $i=1,\dots,\ell$. Therefore, there is a basis $(u_{j,i})_{j,i}$ of V such that $U_{j,i}$ is the linear span of $u_{j,i}$ and the matrix of $\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega_0)$ w.r.t. $(u_{j,i})_{j,i}$ equals,

$$\begin{pmatrix}&D_1&&\\&&\ddots&\\&&&D_{k-1}\\D_0&&&\end{pmatrix},$$

with $D_0,\dots,D_{k-1}$ diagonal matrices of order ℓ, verifying $D_0\cdots D_{k-1}=\varepsilon^r\,\mathbf{I}_\ell$. Up to a diagonal change of basis we can assume $D_1=\ldots=D_{k-1}=I_\ell$ and $D_0=\varepsilon^r I_\ell$. □

The proof of the previous lemma showed in particular, that the monodromy along ω_0 of an irreducible Pochhammer local system on a turbine without shaft has a very special shape: it is always conjugated to $\oplus_i\zeta_i\mathbf{I}_\ell$ where ζ_i ranges through the set of k-roots of ε^r and $\mathbf{I}_\ell$ denotes the identity matrix of order ℓ (cf. Theorem 5.4).

4.2. **Pochhammer local systems on the complement of an hypersurface.** Let Y be the germ of an hypersurface of a complex manifold X and denote by $\operatorname{mult}(Y)$ its multiplicity. Let Z be an irreducible component of Y. Let $b\in Z$ be a nonsingular point of Y. Let C be the germ at b of a smooth curve transversal to Z. Let γ be a loop of $C\setminus Z$ with base point c. Assume that $\oint_\gamma df/f=2\pi\sqrt{-1}$, where f is a defining function of Z at b. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on $X\setminus Y$ and let M be its monodromy along the loop γ. The conjugacy class M_Z of M does not depend on γ, c or b and is called the *local monodromy of $\mathcal{L}$ around Z*.

Let $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L})$ denote the dimension of the fiber of $\mathcal{L}$ at a point of $X\setminus Y$. Let $j:X\setminus Y\hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. The nonnegative integer $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L})-\dim(j_*\mathcal{L})_b$ does not depend on b. It is called the *multiplicity* of $\mathcal{L}$ along Z and it is denoted by $\operatorname{mult}_Z(\mathcal{L})$. Notice that

$$\operatorname{mult}_Z(\mathcal{L})=\operatorname{codim}(\ker(M_Z-id)).\tag{12}$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let (Y, o) be the germ of an hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$, with irreducible components $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$. Let X be an open neighbourhood of o. Let $\tau : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear projection with fibers transversal to the tangent cone of Y. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on $X \setminus Y$. Let j be the open inclusion of $X \setminus Y$ into X. The following statements are equivalent:*

- *(a)* $(j_*\mathcal{L})_o = 0$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{mult}(Y_i)\operatorname{mult}_{Y_i}(\mathcal{L})$*;*
- *(b) For each $b \in \tau(U)$ such that b does not belong to the discriminant of τ, $\mathcal{L}|_{\tau^{-1}(b)}$ verifies the conditions of Lemma 4.1;*
- *(c)* $\mathbb{R}\tau_*(\mathcal{L})$ *vanishes.*

Proof. The equivalence between (a) and (b) follows from Lemma 4.1. The equivalence between (b) and (c) was proved in [21]. □

Definition 5. [21] *Let (Y, o) be the germ of an hypersurface. Let X be an open neighbourhood of o. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on $X \setminus Y$. We say that the local system $\mathcal{L}$ is* Pochhammer *if the conditions of Lemma 4.5 are verified.*

5. Recognition of an irreducible Pochhammer local system

5.1. **An irreducibility criterion for groups generated by pseudo-reflections.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$ generated by pseudo-reflections $A_i = \mathbf{I}_m - u_i\, v_i^T$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, with $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $u_i, v_i \neq 0$.

Let $\Gamma = (V, A)$ be a digraph with set of vertices $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, and set of arcs A such that there is an arc from x_i to x_j $(i \neq j)$, i.e., $(x_i, x_j) \in A$, if $v_i^T u_j \neq 0$.

Recall that a digraph is called *strongly connected* if for any distinct pair of vertices x and x' there is a directed path from x to x'.

The following result gives an useful criterion to decide the irreducibility of linear subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$ generated by pseudo-reflections.

Theorem 5.1. [6, Theorem 5] *The following hold.*

- *(i) The group $\mathcal{H}$ is an irreducible subgroup of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if the following conditions are verified:*
  - *(a) Γ is* strongly connected*.*
  - *(b) The matrix $[v_i^T u_j]$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, m$, is invertible.*
- *(ii) If $\mathcal{H}$ is an irreducible subgroup of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$, there is a matrix $T \in GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that $TA_iT^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_m - f_i\, e_i^T$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, with $f_i \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $f_i \neq 0$, and $e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ the i-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{C}^m$.*

When the pseudo-reflections are written in the 'standard form' described in (ii), we can replace the invertibility condition (b) by an invertibility condition expressed in terms of the product $A := A_1 \cdots A_m$. More precisely, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider pseudo-reflections $A_i = \mathbf{I}_m - f_i\, e_i^T$, with $f_i \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $f_i \neq 0$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then $[e_i^T f_j]$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, m$, is invertible if and only if $\mathbf{I}_m - A$ is invertible.*

Proof. For each $k = 1, \ldots, m$, we can find complex numbers $\beta_{i,k}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, such that

$$(\mathbf{I}_m - A)e_k = f_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_{i,k} f_i.$$

Hence $\mathbf{I}_m - A$ is invertible if and only if $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are linearly independent. □

5.2. **Numerical local data associated to an irreducible Pochhammer local system.**

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}^*$ be an irreducible Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on $T^* = T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ with monodromy representation $\varrho : \pi_1(T^*) \to GL_m(\mathbb{C})$, $m = k\ell+1$. Assume moreover that $\mathcal{L}^*$ has semi-simple monodromy at ∞, i.e., $\varrho(\omega_\infty)$ is semi-simple. Then the following holds.*

- (i^*) *$\varrho(\alpha_i)$, are pseudo-reflections with special eigenvalues $\lambda_i \neq 1$, $i = 0, \ldots, \ell$.*
- (ii^*) *$\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon\, \mathbf{I}_m$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*
- (iii^*) *$\varrho(\omega_0)$ is conjugated to $\zeta_1 \mathbf{I}_\ell \oplus \cdots \oplus \zeta_k \mathbf{I}_\ell \oplus b$, with $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k\}$ the set of k-roots of ε^r and $b^k \neq \varepsilon^r$.*
- (iv^*) *$\varrho(\omega_\infty)$ is conjugated to $\xi_1 \mathbf{I}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_\nu \mathbf{I}_{m_\nu}$, with $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\nu$, pairwise distinct nonzero complex numbers, $\xi_i^k \neq \varepsilon^r$, $m_\nu \leq \cdots \leq m_1 \leq \ell$ and $\sum_j m_j = m$.*
- (v^*) *$\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_\ell\, \xi_1^{m_1} \cdots \xi_\nu^{m_\nu} = (-1)^{(\nu-1)\ell} b\, \varepsilon^{r\ell}$ and $\lambda_0 b^k = \varepsilon^r$.*

Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}^*$ is rigid if and only if $\nu = k+1$, $m_1 = \cdots = m_k = \ell$ and $m_{k+1} = 1$.

We refer to $(\varepsilon; b; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\nu; m_1, \ldots, m_\nu)$ as the *numerical local data* of $\mathcal{L}^*$.

We refer to the former relation of (v^*) as the *Fuchs relation.*

Proof. (i^*) follows by definition.

(ii^*) follows from the facts that δ belongs to the center of $\pi_1(T^*)$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$ is irreducible.

Let us prove (iii^*). Since each $\varrho(\alpha_i)$ is a pseudo-reflection, $\operatorname{codim} \ker(\varrho(\alpha_i) - \mathbf{I}_m) = 1$ and we obtain, by a simple linear algebra argument,

$$\operatorname{codim} \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \ker(\varrho(\alpha_i) - \mathbf{I}_m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{codim} \ker(\varrho(\alpha_i) - \mathbf{I}_m) = \ell. \tag{13}$$

Since $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \omega_0$ and δ generate $\pi_1(T^*)$, all eigenvalues of $\varrho(\omega_0)$ have geometric multiplicity less than or equal to ℓ. Otherwise ϱ would leave

invariant a nontrivial linear subspace, contradicting its irreducibility. Since $\alpha_0\omega_0^k = \delta^r$ and $\varrho(\alpha_0)$ is a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue $\lambda_0 \neq 1$, $\varrho(\omega_0^k)$ is conjugated to $b' \oplus (\varepsilon^r)^{\oplus k\ell}$ with $b' \neq \varepsilon^r$. Hence $\varrho(\omega_0)$ is conjugated to $\zeta_1\mathbf{I}_\ell \oplus \cdots \oplus \zeta_k\mathbf{I}_\ell \oplus b$ where $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k$ are the distinct k-roots of ε^r and $b \neq \zeta_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Let us prove (iv^*). Since $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \omega_\infty$ and δ generate $\pi_1(T^*)$, all eigenvalues of $\varrho(\omega_\infty)$ have geometric multiplicity less than or equal to ℓ by an argument similar to the argument used in (ii). By Corollary 4.4 and the hypothesis, $\varrho(\alpha_\infty) = \varrho(\delta^r\omega_\infty^{-k})$ does not have the eigenvalue one. Hence $\xi_i^k \neq \varepsilon^r$ for all i.

(v^*) follows from relations $\det(\varrho(\alpha_1)\cdots\varrho(\alpha_l)\varrho(\omega_\infty)) = \det(\varrho(\omega_0))$ and $\alpha_0 = \delta^r\omega_0^{-k}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{L}^*$ is rigid. Let $z(A)$ be the dimension of the centralizer of a linear endomorphism A. Since $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon\mathbf{I}_m$, the pair w_i, δ generate $\pi_1(\partial N_i)$, $i = 0, \infty$, and the pair α_i, δ generate $\pi_1(\partial N_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, we obtain $\dim\Gamma(\partial N_i, \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{L}^*)) = z(\varrho(\omega_i))$, $i = 0, \infty$ and $\dim\Gamma(\partial N_i, \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{L}^*)) = z(\varrho(\alpha_i))$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Since $\mathcal{L}^*$ is rigid, we have by Theorem 3.4,

$$\mathrm{rig}(\mathcal{L}^*\,,\, T^*) = z(\varrho(\omega_\infty)) + z(\varrho(\omega_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} z(\varrho(\alpha_i)) - \ell(k\ell+1)^2 = 2.$$

Thus $z(\varrho(\omega_\infty)) = k\ell^2 + 1$. Take $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell = m_1 = \cdots = m_s > m_{s+1} \geq \cdots \geq m_\nu \geq 1$. Since $\varrho(\omega_\infty)$ is semi-simple, $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} m_i = k\ell + 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} m_i^2 = k\ell^2 + 1$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=s+1}^{\nu} m_i = (\nu - s)\ell + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=s+1}^{\nu} m_i^2 = (\nu - s)\ell^2 + 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\ell \sum_{i=s+1}^{\nu} m_i = \sum_{i=s+1}^{\nu} m_i^2 + \ell - 1,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=s+1}^{\nu} m_i(\ell - m_i) = \ell - 1.$$

Since $t(\ell - t) > \ell - 1$ if $t \in]1, \ell - 1[$, $s = \nu - 1$ and $m_\nu = 1$. Therefore $s = k$, $\nu = k + 1$, $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_k = \ell$ and $m_{k+1} = 1$. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an irreducible Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on the turbine without shaft $T = T_{n,k,\ell}$ with monodromy representation $\varrho : \pi_1(T) \to GL_m(\mathbb{C})$, $m = k\ell$. Assume moreover that $\mathcal{L}$ has semi-simple monodromy at infinity, i.e., $\varrho(\omega_\infty)$ is semi-simple. The following holds.*

- *(i) For each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, $\varrho(\alpha_i)$ is a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue $\lambda_i \neq 1$.*

(*ii*) $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon\,\mathbf{I}_m$ *for some* $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
(*iii*) $\varrho(\omega_0)$ *is conjugated to* $\zeta_1\mathbf{I}_\ell \oplus \cdots \oplus \zeta_k\mathbf{I}_\ell$, *with* $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k\}$ *the set of* k*-roots of* ε^r.
(*iv*) $\varrho(\omega_\infty)$ *is conjugated to* $\xi_1\mathbf{I}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_\nu\mathbf{I}_{m_\nu}$, *with* $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\nu$, *pairwise distinct nonzero complex numbers,* $\xi_i^k \neq \varepsilon^r$, $m_\nu \leq \cdots \leq m_1 \leq \ell$ *and* $\sum_j m_j = m$.
(*v*) $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_\ell\, \xi_1^{m_1} \cdots \xi_\nu^{m_\nu} = (-1)^{(\nu-1)\ell}\, \varepsilon^{r\ell}$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}$ *is rigid if and only if* $\nu = k+1$, $m_1 = \cdots = m_{k-1} = \ell$, $m_k = \ell - 1$ *and* $m_{k+1} = 1$.

We refer to $(\varepsilon; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\nu; m_1, \ldots, m_\nu)$, as the *numerical local data* of $\mathcal{L}$.

We refer to relation (v) as the *Fuchs relation*.

Proof. It follows from similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 5.3, taking into account Lemma 4.4. □

6. Reconstruction of a rigid Pochhammer local system

In this section we solve the inverse problem of reconstructing an rigid irreducible Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on a turbine from its numerical local data, assuming some additional generic conditions on this data.

6.1. The case $\ell = 1$.

Theorem 6.1. *A tuple of nonzero complex numbers,*

$$(\varepsilon; b; \lambda_1; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1}; 1, \ldots, 1),$$

verifying Fuchs relation $\lambda_1\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{k+1} = (-1)^k b\,\varepsilon^r$ *with* $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ *and* $b^k, \xi_j^k \neq \varepsilon^r$ *for all* j, *plus the generic condition* $\xi_i \neq b$ *for all* i, *is the numerical local data of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on* $T^*_{n,k,1}$ *and semi-simple monodromy at* ∞.

Proof. Set $T^* = T^*_{n,k,1}$. In virtue of theorems 2.1 and 5.3, it is enough to find matrices $A_1, \Omega_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, $m = k+1$, verifying:

(i^*) A_1 is a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue λ_1;
(ii^*) Ω_0 has eigenvalues $b, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k$, with $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k$ the distinct k-roots of ε^r and $b \neq \zeta_i$ for all i;
(iii^*) $A_0 = \varepsilon^r\Omega_0^{-k}$ is a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = \varepsilon^r b^{-k}$;
(iv^*) $\Omega_\infty := A_1^{-1}\Omega_0$ has $k+1$ distinct eigenvalues $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1}$;
(v^*) The linear representation $\varrho : \pi_1(T^*) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, defined by $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon\mathbf{I}_m$, $\varrho(\alpha_i) = A_i$, $i = 0, 1$, and $\varrho(\omega_0) = \Omega_0$, is irreducible and rigid.

$(i^*)-(iii^*)$ are immediately fulfilled considering,

$$\Omega_0=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} b & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & \varepsilon^r & & \end{array}\right), \qquad A_1=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & & & a_k \\ \hline & 1 & & a_{k-1} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & a_1 \\ & & & \lambda_1 \end{array}\right), \tag{14}$$

with $a_i\in\mathbb{C}$, $i=1,\dots,k-1$, arbitrary (to be determined later).

Let us prove (iv^*). The matrix $\Omega_\infty=A_1^{-1}\Omega_0$ equals

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} b-\lambda_1^{-1}a_k & -\lambda_1^{-1}a_k\varepsilon^r & & \\ \hline -\lambda_1^{-1}a_{k-1} & -\lambda_1^{-1}a_{k-1}\varepsilon^r & 1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \\ -\lambda_1^{-1}a_1 & -\lambda_1^{-1}a_1\varepsilon^r & & 1 \\ \lambda_1^{-1} & \lambda_1^{-1}\varepsilon^r & & \end{array}\right). \tag{15}$$

Since $\det(z\mathbf{I}_m-\Omega_\infty)=(b-z)q(z)-\lambda_1^{-1}a_kz^k$, with

$$q(z)=z^k+\varepsilon^r\lambda_1^{-1}\left(a_{k-1}z^{k-1}+a_{k-2}z^{k-2}+\cdots+a_1z-1\right),$$

Ω_∞ has eigenvalues $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{k+1}$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} -b\,\varepsilon^r\lambda_1^{-1} &= (-1)^{k+1}\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\xi_i, \\ \varepsilon^r\lambda^{-1}(a_1b+1) &= \sum_{\#\{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k\}=k}(-1)^k\xi_{i_1}\xi_{i_2}\cdots\xi_{i_k}, \\ \varepsilon^r\lambda^{-1}(a_2b-a_1) &= \sum_{\#\{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_{k-1}\}=k-1}(-1)^{k-1}\xi_{i_1}\xi_{i_2}\cdots\xi_{i_{k-1}}, \\ &\vdots \\ \varepsilon^r\lambda^{-1}(a_{k-1}b-a_{k-2}) &= \sum_{i_1\neq i_2}\xi_{i_1}\xi_{i_2}, \\ b-\varepsilon^r\lambda^{-1}(a_k+a_{k-1}) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{\nu}\xi_i. \end{aligned}$$

First relation is equivalent to Fuchs relation. The other relations determine the a_i's by recurrence.

Let us prove (v^*). The only nontrivial part is to prove the irreducibility.

Set $M_0=A_0$ and set $M_j=\Omega_0^{k-j}A_1\Omega^{j-k}$, $j=1,\dots,k$. Each M_j is a pseudo-reflection of the form $\mathbf{I}_k-v_j\,e_j^T$, for a unique nonzero vector $v_j\in\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$. In order to prove that ϱ is irreducible it is enough to show that the linear subgroup $\mathcal{H}^*$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by $M_0,\dots,M_k$ is irreducible.

Let $\Gamma = (V, A)$ be the digraph with set of vertices $V = \{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$ and set of arcs $A = \{(x_i, x_j) \,:\, e_i^T v_j \neq 0 \ (i \neq j)\}$.

Since $b \neq \xi_i$ for all i (by hypothesis), $-\lambda_1^{-1} a_k b^k = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1}(b - \xi_i) \neq 0$. Hence $a_k \neq 0$. Since $e_0^T v_j = -b^{k-j} a_k \neq 0$, $(x_0, x_j) \in A$ for every $j \neq 0$. Since $e_j^T v_0 = b^{k+1-j} \neq 0$, $(x_j, x_0) \in A$ for every $j \neq 0$. Thus, Γ is strongly connected. Moreover, by Corollary 2.3 and relation $\xi_i^k \neq \varepsilon^r$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, $M_0 M_1 \cdots M_k = \varepsilon^r \Omega_\infty^{-k}$ does not have the eigenvalue one. Hence $\mathcal{H}^*$ is irreducible by Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. *A tuple of nonzero complex numbers* $(\varepsilon, \lambda_1; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k; 1, \dots, 1)$ *verfying Fuchs relation* $\lambda_1 \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k = (-1)^{k-1} \varepsilon^r$ *with* $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ *and* $\xi_j^k \neq \varepsilon^r$ *for all* j*, plus the generic condition* $\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i \neq 0$*, is the numerical local data of an irreducible Pochhammer system of multiplicity one on* $T_{n,k,1}$ *with semi-simple monodromy at* ∞*.*

Proof. Set $T = T_{n,k,1}$. We have to find matrices Ω_0 and A_1 such that the following hold:

- (i) The eigenvalues of Ω_0 are the k-roots of ε^r;
- (ii) A_1 is a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue λ_1;
- (iii) $\Omega_\infty := A_1^{-1}\Omega_0$ has distinct eigenvalues $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$;
- (iv) The linear representation $\varrho : \pi_1(T) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, defined by $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_m$, $\varrho(\alpha_1) = A_1$ and $\varrho(\omega_0) = \Omega_0$ is irreducible and rigid.

The conditions (i)-(iii) are fulfilled at once considering,

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ \varepsilon^r & & & \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & b_{k-1} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & b_1 \\ & & & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

with $b_i = \varepsilon^{-r} \lambda_1 a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, such that $z^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i z^i = \prod_{i=1}^k (z - \xi_i)$.

Let us prove (iv). The only nontrivial part is to prove that ϱ is irreducible.

Set $M_j = \Omega_0^{k-j} A_1 \Omega_0^{j-k}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then $M_j = \mathbf{I}_k - v_j\, e_j^T$, for a unique nonzero vector $v_j \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and it is enough to prove that the linear subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$, generated by the M_j's is irreducible.

Let $\Gamma = (V, A)$ be the digraph with set of vertices $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and set of arcs $A = \{(x_i, x_j) : e_i^T v_j \neq 0 \ (i \neq j)\}$.

Since Ω_0 is the product of an invertible diagonal matrix by the permutation matrix associated to the cycle $\sigma = (1 \cdots k)$, $M_j = \Omega_0 M_{\sigma(j)} \Omega_0^{-1}$. Therefore $(x_i, x_j) \in A$ if and only if $(x_{\sigma(i)}, x_{\sigma(j)}) \in A$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j \neq 0$, $e_1^T v_k = -b_{k-1} \neq 0$ and thus $(1, k) \in A$. Therefore Γ is strongly connected. By Corollary 2.3 and the assumption $\xi_j^k \neq \varepsilon^r$ for all j, $M_1 \cdots M_k = \Omega_0^k (\Omega_0^{-1} A_1)^k = \varepsilon^r \Omega_\infty^{-k}$, does not have the eigenvalue one. Hence $\mathcal{H}$ is irreducible in virtue of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. $\square$

6.2. **The general case.** Consider a turbine with shaft $T^*_{n,k,\ell} = N_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{int}(N_i)$ and a solid torus $N_\bullet$ such that $N_i \subset N_\bullet \subset N_\infty \setminus N_0$ for all i. Set $T^*_{n,k,\bullet} := N_\infty \setminus \mathrm{int}(N_0 \cup N_\bullet)$ and $N'_\bullet = N_\bullet \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{int}(N_i)$.

The topological space $T^*_{n,k,\bullet}$ defines a turbine with shaft and parameters $n, k, 1$ and $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ is the union of $T^*_{n,k,\bullet}$ and $N'_\bullet$, pieced together along the torus $\partial N_\bullet$ (see Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. Decomposition of a turbine with shaft

Let $D_\bullet$ be a section transversal to $N_\bullet$. Let $D_i \subset D_\bullet$ be a section transversal to N_i. Set $D'_\bullet = D_\bullet \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{int}(D_i)$. Set $U_\bullet = \partial D_\bullet$ and $U_i = \partial D_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then $\pi_1(U_i) = \langle \alpha_i \rangle$ for all i, $\pi_1(U_\bullet) = \langle \alpha_\bullet \rangle$ with $\alpha_\bullet := \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell$, and $\pi_1(D^*_\bullet) = \langle \alpha_\bullet, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell : \alpha_\bullet = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_\ell \rangle$. Moreover, $\pi_1(N'_\bullet) \simeq \pi_1(D'_\bullet) \times \langle \delta \rangle$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Pochhammer local system on a turbine $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ of multiplicity μ_i along ∂N_i, $i = 0, \ldots, \ell$. The following holds:*

1. *$\mathcal{L}|_{T^*_{n,k,\bullet}}$ is a Pochhammer local system on $T^*_{n,k,\bullet}$ of multiplicity $k\mu_i$ along ∂N_i, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and multiplicity μ_0 along ∂N_0.*
2. *$\mathcal{L}|_{D'_\bullet} \simeq \mathcal{K} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, with $\mathcal{K}$ a constant sheaf of rank $\mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}(k-1)\mu_i$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ a Pochhammer local system of multiplicity μ_i along α_i.*
3. *The local data of $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by the local data of $\mathcal{L}'$ and of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

The previous lemma motivates the following definition.

Definition 6. *Let $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}'$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be Pochhammer local systems on $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$, $T^*_{n,k\bullet}$ and $D'_\bullet$, respectively. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is an* extension *of $\mathcal{L}'$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, and we denote it by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' \rtimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, if $\mathcal{L}|_{T^*_{n,k,\bullet}} \simeq \mathcal{L}'$ and there is constant sheaf $\mathcal{K}$ on $D'_\bullet$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{D'_\bullet}/\mathcal{K} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$.*

All considerations and results above can be adapted, in a obvious way, to the turbines without shaft, $T_{n,k,\ell}$ and $T_{n,k,\bullet}$, where $T_{n,k,\bullet}$ denotes the turbine with parameters $n, k, 1$ obtained from $T^*_{n,k,\bullet}$ by 'filling in' its shaft.

Theorem 6.4. *Consider nonzero complex numbers $\varepsilon, b, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1}$, such that $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell, \eta_1, \eta_2 \neq 1$, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell \neq \eta_1$, $\xi_i \neq \xi_j$ $(i \neq j)$, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1} \neq$*

b, $\xi_1^k, \dots, \xi_{k+1}^k$, $b^k \neq \varepsilon^r$, $\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i \neq 0$, and $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$, where

$$\eta_1 := (-1)^{k-1}\varepsilon^r \prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i^{-1}, \qquad \eta_2 := (-1)^k \varepsilon^r b \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \xi_i^{-1}.$$

Assume moreover that the Fuchs relation

$$\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_\ell \, \xi_1^\ell \cdots \xi_k^\ell \, \xi_{k+1} = (-1)^{(k-1)\ell} b \, \varepsilon^{r\ell},$$

holds. Then we have the following.

- *(i) There is an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system $\mathcal{F}$ of multiplicity one on $T_{n,k,\bullet}$, that is determined by the numerical local data $(\varepsilon; \eta_1; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$.*
- *(ii) There is an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system $\mathcal{G}^*$ of multiplicity one on $T^*_{n,k,\bullet}$, that is determined by the numerical data $(\varepsilon; b; \eta_2; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1})$.*
- *(iii) There is an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of multiplicity one on $D'_\bullet$, that is determined by the numerical local data $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \eta_1, \eta_2)$.*
- *(iv) The tuple $(\varepsilon; b; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1}; \ell, \dots, \ell, 1)$ is the numerical local data of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on the turbine $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ and semi-simple monodromy at ∞, obtained as extension of $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus(\ell-1)}|_{T^*_{n,k,\bullet}} \oplus \mathcal{G}^*$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, this extension is unique.*

Proof. (i)-(iii) follow from theorems 6.2, 6.1 and 4.2. Let us prove (iv).

Set $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{F}^{\oplus(\ell-1)}|_{T^*_{n,k,\bullet}} \oplus \mathcal{G}^*$ which is a Pochhammer local system on $T^*_\bullet$ of multiplicity ℓ along $\partial N_\bullet$ and multiplicity one along ∂N_0. Denote by $\varrho_{\mathcal{H}}$ the monodromy representation of a local system $\mathcal{H}$. By (16) and (14) we may assume that $\varrho_{\mathcal{L}'}(\omega_0) = \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega_0)^{\oplus(\ell-1)} \oplus \varrho_{\mathcal{G}^*}(\omega_0)$ and $\varrho_{\mathcal{L}'}(\alpha_\bullet) = \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_\bullet)^{\oplus(\ell-1)} \oplus \varrho_{\mathcal{G}^*}(\alpha_\bullet)$ are simultaneously conjugated, by a permutation matrix, to the block matrices

$$\Omega_0 := \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} b & & & \\ \hline & & \mathbf{I}_\ell & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \mathbf{I}_\ell \\ B & \varepsilon^r \mathbf{I}_\ell & & \end{array}\right), \qquad A_\bullet := \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & & & C_k \\ \hline & \mathbf{I}_\ell & & C_{k-1} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \mathbf{I}_\ell & C_1 \\ & & & C_0 \end{array}\right),$$

with $B = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$, $C_0 = \eta_1 \, \mathbf{I}_{\ell-1} \oplus \eta_2$, $C_i = b_i \, \mathbf{I}_{\ell-1} \oplus a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $C_k^T = (0, \dots, 0, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$, $a_k \neq 0$.

By Theorem 4.2 there is an invertible matrix P of order ℓ such that $P^{-1} C_0 P = A_1 \cdots A_\ell$, where $A_i = \mathbf{I}_\ell - u_i e_i^T$ is a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue λ_i and

$$u_i = ((\eta_1 - \lambda_1)\eta_1^{-1}, \dots, (\eta_1 - \lambda_{i-1})\eta_1^{-1}, 1 - \lambda_i, \eta_1 - \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_1 - \lambda_\ell). \tag{17}$$

Set $Q = 1 \oplus P^{\oplus k} \in \mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{C})$ with $m = k\ell + 1$. Then $\Omega_0' = Q^{-1}\Omega_0 Q$ and $A_\bullet' = Q^{-1}A_\bullet Q$ are, respectively, given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} b & & & \\ \hline & \mathbf{I}_\ell & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{I}_\ell \\ B' & \varepsilon^r \mathbf{I}_\ell & & \end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & & & C_k' \\ \hline & \mathbf{I}_\ell & & C_{k-1}' \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \mathbf{I}_\ell & C_1' \\ & & & C_0' \end{array}\right),$$

with $B' = P^{-1}B \neq 0$, $C_j' = P^{-1}C_jP$, $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $C_k' = C_kP \neq 0$.

Set $\Theta = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \Theta_j$ with $\Theta_j = \{(j,1), \ldots, (j,\ell)\}$. Set $\nu(0) = 0$ and $\nu(j,i) = \ell j + i$ for each $(j,i) \in \Theta_j$. For each $\theta \in \Theta$, let $\hat{e}_\theta = (\hat{e}_{\theta,0}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{\theta,k\ell}) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, be the vector defined by $\hat{e}_{\theta,r} = 1$ if $r = \nu(\theta)$ and $\hat{e}_{\theta,r} = 0$, otherwise.

Since $C_0' = A_1 \ldots A_\ell$, there are unique vectors $v_{(k-1,i)} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, verifying

$$\hat{e}_{(k-1,i)}^T v_{(k-1,i)} = e_i^T u_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, \ell \tag{18}$$

such that $A_\bullet'$ is the product of pseudo-reflections $X_i = \mathbf{I}_m - v_{(k-1,i)}\hat{e}_{(k-1,i)}^T$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. By Theorem 2.1 we have a linear representation, $\varrho : \pi_1(T_{n,k,\ell}^*) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, defined by $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_m$, $\varrho(\alpha_i) = X_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and $\varrho(\omega_0) = \Omega_0'$. By construction ϱ is the monodromy representation a Pochhammer local system $\mathcal{L}^*$ extending $\mathcal{L}'$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

It remains to verify that $\mathcal{L}^*$ is irreducible and rigid. The only nontrivial part is to prove the irreducibility. Set $G_0 = \varepsilon^r(\Omega_0')^{-k}$ and $G_{(j,i)} = (\Omega_0')^{k-1-j} X_i (\Omega_0')^{1-k+j}$, $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$.

Let $\Gamma = (V, A)$ be the digraph with set of vertices $V = \{x_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ and set of arcs $A = \{(x_\theta, x_\eta) : \hat{e}_\theta^T v_\eta \neq 0\}$. It is enough to prove that Γ is strongly connected.

Let Γ_j be the induced sub-digraph of Γ, with set of vertices $V_j = \{x_\theta : \theta \in \Theta_j\}$.

By (17), (18), and relations $\lambda_i \neq \eta_1$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, Γ_{k-1} is the complete digraph.

By straightforward computations, reminding that $C_k' \neq 0$, we have for $j = 0, \ldots, k-2$ and $i_1, i_2 = 1, \ldots, \ell$,

$$\hat{e}_0^T\, v_{(j,1)} = b^{(k-j-1)}\, \hat{e}_0^T\, v_{(k-1,1)} \neq 0, \qquad \hat{e}_{(j,i_1)}^T\, v_{(j,i_2)} = \hat{e}_{(k-1,i_1)}^T\, v_{(k-1,i_2)}.$$

Hence $\Gamma_j \simeq \Gamma_{k-1}$ is complete and there are arcs from vertex x_0 to some vertex of Γ_j, for every $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Since $G_0 = \varepsilon^r Q^{-1}\Omega_0^{-k}Q = I_m - v_0\, \hat{e}_0^T$ equals

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} \lambda_0 & & & \\ \hline -B'b^{-k} & \mathbf{I}_\ell & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ -B'b^{-1} & & & \mathbf{I}_\ell \end{array}\right),$$

with $\lambda_0 = \varepsilon^r b^{-k}$ and $B' \neq 0$ there is $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $\hat{e}^T_{(j,i_0)} v_0 \neq 0$, $j = 0, \dots, k-1$. Hence there is an arc from some vertex of Γ_j to vertex x_0 for every $j = 0, \dots, k-1$. Therefore Γ is strongly connected.

By Lemma 6.3 every extension of $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus(\ell-1)}|_{T^*_{n,k,\bullet}} \oplus \mathcal{G}^*$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ have local data isomorphic to the local data of $\mathcal{L}^*$. Hence these extensions are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^*$, since $\mathcal{L}^*$ is rigid. $\square$

Theorem 6.5. *Consider nonzero complex numbers $\varepsilon, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1}$, with $\lambda_i, \eta_1, \eta_2$ distinct from one, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell \neq \eta_1$, $\xi_i \neq \xi_j$ $(i \neq j)$, $\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_k \neq 0$, $\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1} + \xi_{k+1} \neq 0$, $\xi_1^k, \dots, \xi_{k+1}^k \neq \varepsilon^r$, and where*

$$\eta_1 := (-1)^{k-1} \varepsilon^r \prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i^{-1}, \qquad \eta_2 := (-1)^{k-1} \varepsilon^r \xi_{k+1}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_i^{-1}.$$

Assume moreover that the Fuchs relation $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_\ell \, \xi_1^\ell \cdots \xi_{k-1}^\ell \, \xi_k^{\ell-1} \, \xi_{k+1} = (-1)^{(k-1)\ell} \, \varepsilon^{r\ell}$ holds. Then we have the following.

- *(i) There are irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on $T_{n,k,\bullet}$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ determined, respectively, by the numerical local data $(\varepsilon; \eta_1; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ and $(\varepsilon; \eta_2; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1})$.*
- *(ii) There is a rigid Pochhammer local system $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of multiplicity one on $D'_\bullet$, determined by the numerical local data $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \eta_1, \eta_2)$.*
- *(iii) The tuple $(\varepsilon; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1}; \ell, \dots, \ell, \ell-1, 1)$ is the numerical local data of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one on the turbine $T_{n,k,\ell}$ and semi-simple monodromy at infinity, obtained as extension of $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus(\ell-1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, this extension is unique.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from theorems 6.2 and 4.2. Let us prove *(iii)*.

Set $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{F}^{\oplus(\ell-1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}$, which is a Pochhammer local system on $T_{n,k,\bullet}$ of multiplicity ℓ. Set

$$z^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i z^i = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (z - \xi_i), \quad z^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i z^i = (z - \xi_{k+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (z - \xi_i).$$

By (16) we can assume that $\varrho_{\mathcal{L}'}(\omega_0)$ and $\varrho_{\mathcal{L}'}(\alpha_\bullet)$ are simultaneously conjugated, by a permutation matrix, to the block matrices

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} & \mathbf{I}_\ell & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{I}_\ell \\ \varepsilon^r \mathbf{I}_\ell & & & \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_\bullet = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\ell & & & C_{k-1} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \mathbf{I}_\ell & C_1 \\ & & & C_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $C_0 = \eta_1 \mathbf{I}_{\ell-1} \oplus \eta_2$, $C_i = e_i \mathbf{I}_{\ell-1} \oplus f_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, where $e_i = -a_0^{-1} a_i$ and $f_i = -b_0^{-1} b_i$, $i \geq 1$. Moreover, C_{k-1} is in invertible matrix, since

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k-1} &= (-1)^k \xi_1^{-1} \cdots \xi_k^{-1} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_k) \neq 0, \\ f_{k-1} &= (-1)^k \xi_1^{-1} \cdots \xi_{k-1}^{-1} \xi_{k+1}^{-1} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1} + \xi_{k+1}) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $e_{k-1} \neq f_{k-1}$. Let $A_i = \mathbf{I}_m - u_i e_i^T$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, be pseudo-reflections with u_i given by (17). Moreover, $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is determined by the Pochhammer tuple $(A_1, \ldots, A_\ell)$.

By Theorem 4.2, there is an invertible matrix $P \in \mathrm{SL}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ such that $P^{-1} C_0 P = A_1 \cdots A_\ell$. Set $Q = P^{\oplus k} \in \mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Since $C_0^P = A_1 \cdots A_\ell$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, there are unique vectors $v_{(k-1,i)} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, such that $A'_\bullet = Q^{-1} A_\bullet Q = X_1 \cdots X_\ell$ with $X_i = \mathbf{I}_m - v_{(k-1,i)} \hat{e}_{(k-1,i)}^T$ a pseudo-reflection with special eigenvalue λ_i. Furthermore,

$$\hat{e}_{(k-1,i)}^T v_{(k-1,i)} = e_i^T u_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, \ell. \tag{19}$$

Hence we obtain a linear representation $\varrho : \pi_1(T_{n,k,\ell}) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, defined by $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_m$, $\varrho(\alpha_i) = X_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and $\varrho(\omega_0) = Q^{-1} \Omega_0 Q = \Omega_0$. Moreover, by construction ϱ is the monodromy representation of a Pochhammer local system $\mathcal{L}$ of multiplicity one extending $\mathcal{L}'$ to $T_{n,k,\ell}$ such that $(\mathcal{L}|_{U_\bullet}) / \mathcal{K}_{U_\bullet} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{U_\bullet}$ a constant sheaf of rank $(k-1)\ell$.

Let us prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible.

For $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, set $G_{(j,i)} = (\Omega')_0^{k-1-j} X_i (\Omega'_0)^{1-k+j}$. Let $\Gamma = (V, A)$ be the digraph with set of vertices $V = \{x_{(j,i)} : 1 \leq i \leq \ell,\ 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}$ and set of arcs $A = \{(x_{(j,i)}, x_{(\nu,\mu)}) : \hat{e}_{(j,i)}^T v_{(\nu,\mu)} \neq 0\}$. It is enough to prove that the digraph Γ is strongly connected. Let Γ_j be the induced sub-digraph of Γ with set of vertices $\{x_{(j,i)} : i = 1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Since entries of u_i do not vanish, we have by (19) that Γ_{k-1} is complete. Since Ω_0 is the product of an invertible diagonal matrix by the permutation matrix associated to the cycle $(1 \ \cdots \ k)$, there are nonzero complex numbers ε_j, $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$, such that

$$v_{(j,i)} = \varepsilon_j v_{(k-1,i)}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, \ell. \tag{20}$$

Hence $\Gamma_j \simeq \Gamma_{k-1}$ are complete digraphs for all j. Since $P^{-1} C_{k-1} P \neq 0$, there are $i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that

$$\hat{e}_{(0,i_1)}^T v_{(k-1,i_2)} \neq 0.$$

Hence $((0, i_1), (k-1, i_2)) \in A$. Therefore Γ is strongly connected by (20).

By Lemma 6.3 every extension of $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus(\ell-1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ have the same local data than $\mathcal{L}$. Hence the extension $\mathcal{L}$ is unique since it is rigid. $\square$

Remark 3. *By Lemma 3.3 the irreducible rigid Pochhammer local systems on $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ constructed above give rise to irreducible rigid local systems on the*

Riemann sphere with $\ell+2$ elements removed (which are no longer Pochhammer, except when $k=1$). The same conclusion holds replacing the turbine with shaft $T^*_{n,k,\ell}$ by the turbine without shaft $T_{n,k,\ell}$.

7. Application to the weigthed homogeneous curves

By Theorem 2.2, the local fundamental group of $Y^*_{n,k,\ell}$ is isomorphic to the quotient group of $\pi_1(T^*_{n,k,\ell})$ by the relation $\omega_\infty^n = \delta^s$, and henceforth the monodromy at ∞ of an irreducible local system on $Y^*_{n,k,\ell}$ is always semi-simple. By Theorem 5.3 the monodromy of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one along the irreducible components of Y^* is determined by a numerical tuple $(\varepsilon; b; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1}; \ell, \dots, \ell, 1)$ verifying the assumptions of Theorem 6.4, plus the additional condition

$$\xi_i^n = \varepsilon^s, \qquad \forall i. \tag{21}$$

A similar remark apply to the curve $Y_{n,k,\ell}$.

Keep the notations of Section 2. Choose $\rho > 0$ and $\ell+1$ nonzero complex numbers $C, C_1, \dots, C_\ell$, such that the 'fat curve' $\widetilde{Y}_\bullet = \{(t^k, \lambda t^n) : |\lambda^k - C| \le \rho,\ t \in \mathbb{C}\}$, contains the curves Y_i, $i = 1, \dots . \ell$. Set $Y_\bullet = \{y^k - Cx^n = 0\}$ and $Y^*_\bullet = \{y(y^k - Cx^n) = 0\}$.

Theorem 7.1. *A tuple $(\varepsilon; b; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1}; \ell, \dots, \ell, 1)$ verifying the assumptions of Theorem 6.4 and (21), is the numerical local data of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system $\mathcal{L}$ of multiplicity one along the irreducible components of $Y^*_{n,k,\ell}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \widetilde{Y}^*_\bullet} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{\oplus \ell-1}|_{\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^*_\bullet} \oplus \mathcal{G}^*$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the rigid irreducible Pocchammer local system of multiplicity one on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y^*_\bullet$ determined by the tuple $(\varepsilon; \eta_1; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k; 1, \dots, 1)$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ is the rigid irreducible Pocchammer local system of multiplicity one on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y_\bullet$ determined by the tuple $(\varepsilon; b; \eta_2; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k; 1, \dots, 1)$.*

Theorem 7.2. *A tuple $(\varepsilon; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1}; \ell, \dots, \ell, \ell-1, 1)$ verifying the assumptions of Theorem 6.5 and (21), is the numerical local data of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system of multiplicity one along the irreducible components of $Y_{n,k,\ell}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \widetilde{Y}_\bullet} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{\oplus \ell-1} \oplus \mathcal{G}$, with $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ rigid irreducible Pocchammer local systems of multiplicity one on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus Y_\bullet$ determined, respectively, by the tuples $(\varepsilon; \eta_1; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k; 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(\varepsilon; \eta_2; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k; 1, \dots, 1)$.*

Remark 4. *By the main result of* [18]*, there are vanishing sums of k n-roots of the unity if and only if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ p_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_0^+ p_\nu$, where $p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_\nu^{a_\nu}$ is the prime factorization of n. Therefore, when $k \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^+ p_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_0^+ p_\nu$ the nonvanishing assumptions on the sums of ξ_i's can be withdrawn from theorems 7.1 and 7.2.*

Remark 5. *By Theorem 7.2, a tuple $(\varepsilon; \lambda; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$, such that $\lambda \neq 1$, $\xi_i \neq \xi_j$ $(i \neq j)$, $\xi_i^n = \varepsilon^s$, $\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i \neq 0$ and $\lambda\, \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k = (-1)^{k-1}\varepsilon^r$, determines a rigid irreducible Pocchammer local system of multipliticity one along the*

cusp $Y_{n,k,1} = \{y^k = x^n\}$. *Raising to the power* n *the equality* $\lambda\xi_1\cdots\xi_k = (-1)^{k-1}\varepsilon^r$ *and reminding that* $rn - sk = 1$, *we get* $\varepsilon = (-1)^{(k-1)n}\lambda^n$. *Thus we can replace the tuple of data above by the tuple of data* $(\lambda; \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k)$ *where the* ζ_i*'s are* n*-roots of the unity verifying* $\zeta_i \neq \zeta_j$ $(i \neq j)$, $\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_k = 1$ *and* $\sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_i \neq 0$ (*cf.* [26]).

7.1. **An example of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system.** Consider the germ of plane curve at the origin, $(Y_{5,2,2}, 0)$, given by $y^4 - x^{10} = 0$ and denote by $Y_i = \{y^2 + (-1)^i x^5 = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2$, its irreducible components, Consider a tuple of numerical local data $(\varepsilon\,;\, \lambda_1,\, \lambda_2\,;\, \xi_1,\, \xi_2,\, \xi_3; 2, 1, 1)$ in conditions of Theorem 6.5, with $r = 1$ and $s = 2$. Set $\eta_1 = -\varepsilon\xi_1^{-1}\xi_2^{-1}$ and $\eta_2 = -\varepsilon\xi_1^{-1}\xi_3^{-1}$. By Fuchs relation, $\eta_1\eta_2 = \lambda_1\lambda_2$. Set $e_1 = \xi_1^{-1} + \xi_2^{-1}$ and $f_1 = \xi_1^{-1} + \xi_3^{-1}$ and consider the matrices,

$$\Omega_0 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ \hline \varepsilon & & & \\ & \varepsilon & & \end{array}\right), \qquad A_* = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & e_1 & \\ & 1 & & f_1 \\ \hline & & \eta_1 & \\ & & & \eta_2 \end{array}\right).$$

Let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \\ \lambda_2 - \eta_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (\lambda_1 - \eta_1)\eta_1^{-1} \\ & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

be the matrices given by (10). Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{\eta_1}{\lambda_1} & \frac{\lambda_2 - \eta_1}{\lambda_1 - \eta_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

be the diagonalization matrix of A_1A_2 associated to the eigenvalues η_1, η_2. Set $Q = P^{\oplus 2}$. By straightforward computations we obtain,

$$QA_*Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{(\lambda_1-\eta_1)f_1 - (\lambda_1-\eta_2)\eta_1}{\eta_2-\eta_1} & \frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\eta_1)(f_1-e_1)}{\eta_1(\eta_2-\eta_1)} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{(\lambda_2-\eta_1)(f_1-e_1)}{\eta_2-\eta_1} & \frac{\lambda_1(\eta_2-\lambda_1)f_1 - (\eta_1-\lambda_1)e_1}{\eta_2-\eta_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 & \frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\eta_1)}{\eta_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 - \eta_1 & -\lambda_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing η_1, η_2, e_1 and f_1 by expressions only involving the numerical local data we may write the previous matrix as the product of pseudo-reflections,

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda_1 - \varepsilon\xi_1^2}{\varepsilon\xi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{\lambda_2 + \varepsilon\xi_1\xi_2}{\varepsilon\xi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 + \varepsilon\xi_1\xi_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\xi_1\xi_2}{\varepsilon\xi_2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda_2 - \varepsilon\xi_1^2}{\varepsilon\xi_1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\xi_1\xi_2}{\varepsilon\xi_1\xi_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear representation determined by $\varrho(\alpha_i) = X_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $\varrho(\omega_0) = \Omega_0$ and $\varrho(\delta) = \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_4$ is the monodromy representation of an irreducible rigid Pochhammer local system $\mathcal{L}$ of multiplicity one on the turbine $T_{5,2,2}$ with the prescribed local data $(\varepsilon; \lambda_1, \lambda_2; \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3; 2, 1, 1)$. If we require additionally that $\xi_i^5 = \varepsilon^2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $\mathcal{L}$ determines an irreducible rigid local system defined on complement of the weighted homogeneous curve $Y_{5,2,2}$. Furthermore, this local system is obtained as the extension of a local system $\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2$, where $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are irreducible rigid Pochhammer local systems on the complement of the cusp $y^2 = x^5$ determined by the tuples $(\varepsilon; \eta_1; \xi_1, \xi_2, 1, 1)$ and $(\varepsilon; \eta_2; \xi_1, \xi_3; 1, 1)$, respectively.

8. Special $\mathfrak{D}$-modules

8.1. **Background on $\mathfrak{D}$-modules and perverse sheaves.** Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n. Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on T^*X. Let $\pi : T^*X \to X$ be the *cotangent bundle* of X. Let θ be the canonical 1-form of X. Let $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a system of coordinates on an open set U of X. Let us denote $x_i \circ \pi$ by x_i. There is one and only one family of holomorphic functions $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n$ on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ such that $\theta = \xi_1 dx_1 + \cdots + \xi_n dx_n$. Moreover, $(x_1, ..., x_n, \xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$ is a system of coordinates on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. We call *contact transformation* of T^*X to a diffeomorphism between open sets of T^*X that leaves θ invariant. An analytic subset Γ of T^*X is called a (*conic*) *Lagrangean variety* if Γ has dimension n and the restriction of θ to the regular locus of Γ vanishes.

A germ of a Lagrangean variety Γ at a point α is said to be in *generic position* if $\Gamma \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(\alpha)) = \mathbb{C}\alpha$.

Let Y be a closed analytic subset of X. The conormal of Y is the smallest Lagrangean subvariety Γ of T^*X such that $\pi(\Gamma) = Y$. We will denote Γ by $T^*_Y X$. If $Y = \{x \in U : \ x_1 = \cdots = x_k = 0\}$, $T^*_Y X = \{(x, \xi) \in \pi^{-1}(U) : \ x_1 = \cdots = x_k = \xi_{k+1} = \cdots = \xi_n = 0\}$. We identify X with the *zero section* $T^*_X X$ of T^*X. If Y is an hypersurface of X, $T^*_Y X$ is the closure of the set of points $(a, \lambda df(a))$ such that $f(a) = 0$, $df(a) \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and f is a local generator of the defining ideal of Y. We say that the germ of an hypersurface is in *generic position* if its conormal is in generic position.

Let $\mathbb{C}_X$ be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X. A $\mathbb{C}_X$-module F is called *constructible* if there is a decreasing sequence of closed analytic sets $(X_j)_{j\geq 0}$ such that $\cap_{j\geq 0} X_j = \emptyset$ and the sheaf $F|_{X_j \setminus X_{j+1}}$ is a local system for each j. A sheaf complex $F^\bullet : \ \cdots \to F_k \to F_{k+1} \to \cdots$ is called *constructible* if its cohomology sheaves $H^j(F^\bullet)$ are constructible for each j and $H^j(F^\bullet) = 0$ for all but a finite number of j's. We say that $F^\bullet$ verifies the *conditions of support* if $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{supp} H^j(F^\bullet)) \geq j$, for all j. Let $\mathbb{D}^b_c(X)$ be the full subcategory of the derived category of sheaves $\mathbb{D}(X)$ whose objects are the constructible complexes. Given a local system G on X the local system $G^\curlyvee = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(G, \mathbb{C}_X)$ is called the *dual* of G. The *Poincaré-Verdier duality* is a contravariant functor $\mathbb{D}_X : \mathbb{D}^b_c(X) \to \mathbb{D}^b_c(X)$ such that $\mathbb{D}_X(G) = G^\curlyvee$ for

each local system G on X. We say that an object F of $\mathbb{D}^b_c(X)$ is a *perverse sheaf* if F and its Poincaré-Verdier dual verify the conditions of support. We will denote by $\mathbb{P}erv(X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathbb{D}^b_c(X)$ whose objects are perverse sheaves.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_X$ denote the ring of differential operators on X. Let U be an open set of X. Let $P = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha \partial_x^\alpha$ be a differential operator of order m over U. Its principal symbol $\sigma(P)(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(x)\xi^\alpha$ is an holomorphic function homogeneous of degree m on $\pi^{-1}(U)$.

Let $P = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial_x^i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be an ordinary differential operator. Assume that $a_m \not\equiv 0$. The $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}P$ is a coherent $\mathfrak{D}_C$-module. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) \ : \ 0 \ \rightarrow \ \mathcal{O}_C \ \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_C \ \rightarrow \ 0.$$

Hence $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) = Ker(P)$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) = CokerP$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}xt^0$ gives the solutions of the differential equation $Pf = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^1$ represents the obstructions to the existence of solutions of the inhomogeneous differential equation $Pf = g$. In general, we call $\mathcal{S}ol_X(-) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(-, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the *solution functor.*

Let $\Omega^\bullet_X$ be the differential forms complex of X. We call $d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}) = \Omega^\bullet_X \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{M}$ the *de Rham complex* of $\mathfrak{M}$. Notice that $d\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \Omega^\bullet_X \simeq \mathbb{C}_X$. Moreover, $\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{S}ol_X(\mathfrak{M})) = d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})$.

We associate to a coherent $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module $\mathfrak{M}$ an analytic subset $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M})$ of T^*X, designated by *characteristic variety* of $\mathfrak{M}$. If $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_X u$,

$$\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) \ = \ \{(x,\xi) : \ \sigma(P)(x,\xi) = 0 \text{ for all } \ P \ \text{ such that } Pu = 0\}.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}P) = \{(x,\xi) \in T^*\mathbb{C} \ : \ \xi = 0 \ \text{ or } a_m(x) = 0\}$.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a coherent $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module. The following statements are equivalent: (a) $\mathfrak{M}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module; (b) $\mathfrak{M}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module; (c) $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) = T^*_X X$; (d) $\mathcal{S}ol_X(\mathfrak{M})$ is a local system; (e) $d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})$ is a local system.*

A coherent $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module $\mathfrak{M}$ verifying the conditions above is called an *integrable connection.* A coherent $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module $\mathfrak{M}$ is called *holonomic* if its characteristic variety is Lagrangean. An integrable connection is the simplest example of a holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module, the hypersurface $Y = \pi(\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) \setminus X)$ is called the *ramification locus* of $\mathfrak{M}$. The singularities of the holomorphic solutions of $\mathfrak{M}$ are contained in its ramification locus.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,a}$ denote the ring of formal power series of X at the point $a \in X$. A holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module is called *regular holonomic* if

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_{X,a}}(\mathfrak{M}_a, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,a}/\mathcal{O}_{X,a}) = 0$$

for each $a \in X$. We will denote by $\mathbb{R}H(\mathfrak{D}_X)$ the category of regular holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules.

The following theorem shows that regular holonomic $\mathfrak{D}$-modules are topological objects.

Theorem 8.2. *The functor $\mathcal{S}ol$ [$d\mathcal{R}$] is a contravariant [covariant] equivalence of categories from $\mathbb{R}H(\mathfrak{D}_X)$ onto $\mathbb{P}erv(X)$.*

These equivalences of categories are known by the name of *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.*

We can associate to each constructible complex on X an analytic subset of T^*X, the *microssuport* $SS(F)$ of F. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is an holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module, $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) = SS(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M})) = SS(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}))$ (See [14]).

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module. We associate to each irreducible Lagrangean variety of T^*X a nonnegative integer $\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M})$, the *multiplicity of* $\mathfrak{M}$ *along* Γ, such that $\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}) \geq 1$ if and only if Γ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M})$. If $0 \to \mathfrak{M}' \to \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}'' \to 0$ is an exact sequence of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules and Γ is an irreducible Lagrangean variety of T^*X, $\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{mult}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}') + \mathrm{mult}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}'')$. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is an integrable connection on X, $\mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M})$ equals the rank of the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathfrak{M}$. In particular, $\mathrm{mult}_{\{\xi=0\}}\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{D}P = m$. If $a_m(x) = x^l$, $\mathrm{mult}_{\{x=0\}}\ \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{D}P = l$. Moreover, Kashiwara's Index Theorem holds.

Theorem 8.3. (See [12], Theorem 6.3.1) *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module. Let (X_α) be a Withney stractification of X such that $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) \subset \cup_\alpha T^*_{X_\alpha}X$. Let d_α be the codimension of X_α in X. For each $b \in X$ there are positive integers $C_b(\overline{X}_\alpha)$ such that*

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M}))_b = \sum_{b\in\overline{X}_\alpha} (-1)^{d_\alpha} C_b(\overline{X}_\alpha) mult_{T^*_{X_\alpha}X}(\mathfrak{M}).$$

If Y is smooth at b, $C_b(Y) = 1$. If Y is a curve, $C_b(Y)$ equals the multiplicity of Y at b.

In particular, if $\mathfrak{M}$ is an integrable connexion,

$$\mathrm{rank}\,\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M}) \ = \ \mathrm{rank}\,H^0(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})) \ = \ \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M}). \tag{22}$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be an holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module on a complex manifold X of dimension n. Let Ω^n_X denote the sheaf of differential forms of degree n of X. The holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module

$$\mathfrak{M}^* \ = \ \mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{D}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega^n \tag{23}$$

is called the *dual* of $\mathfrak{M}$. Notice that,

$$\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}^*) = \mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}), \qquad \mathrm{mult}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}) = mult_\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}^*),$$

for each irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M})$ and

$$\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M}) \ \simeq \ d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}^*) \ \simeq \ \mathbb{D}(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})). \tag{24}$$

8.2. **Microlocal Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and special $\mathfrak{D}$-modules.**

Definition 7. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a germ of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module in generic position at a point o. Let α be the linear form such that $\pi^{-1}(o) \cap \mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathbb{C}\alpha$. We say that $\mathfrak{M}$ *comes from an* $\mathcal{E}_X$*-module* if there is a germ of a holomorphic vector field ν at o such that $\sigma(\nu)(o) = \alpha$ and the map $u \mapsto \nu u$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism from $\mathfrak{M}_o$ onto $\mathfrak{M}_o$.

Definition 8. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module that comes from an $\mathcal{E}_X$-module. We say that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a *special* $\mathfrak{D}_X$*-module* if $\mathfrak{M}^*$ also comes from an $\mathcal{E}_X$-module.

For the motivation of the definition of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module that comes from an $\mathcal{E}_X$-module see Theorem 8.6.19 of [2].

Let X be a germ of a complex manifold at a point o. Let Y be a germ at o a complex hypersurface with conormal in generic position. Let X_0 be the complement in X of the singular locus of Y. Let $\imath : X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ be the open inclusion.

We will denote by $\mathfrak{D}_\mu(X, Y)$ the category of germs at o of regular holonomic $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{M}$ comes from an $\mathcal{E}_X$-module and the holomorphic solutions of $\mathfrak{M}$ ramify along Y.

We will denote by $\mathcal{S}pecial(X, Y)$ the subcategory of $\mathfrak{D}_\mu(X, Y)$ consisting of the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{M}^* \in \mathfrak{D}_\mu(X, Y)$.

Theorem 8.4. [21] *The following statements hold:*

(*a*) *If* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is a holonomic* $\mathfrak{D}_X$*-module such that* $\mathcal{C}har(\mathfrak{M}) \subset T^*_Y X \cup T^*_X X$,
$$H^i(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})) = H^i(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M})) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0, 1.$$

(*b*) *The functor* $\mathfrak{M} \mapsto d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})$ *is an equivalence of categories from* $\mathfrak{D}_\mu(X, Y)$ *onto the category of perverse sheaves* F *such that* $SS(F)$ *equals* $T^*_Y X \cup T^*_X X$, F *is concentrated in degree* 0, $F_o = 0$ *and* $F|_{X \setminus Y}$ *is a local system.*

(*c*) *If* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is in* $\mathfrak{D}_\mu(X, Y)$,
$$\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M})|_{X_0} \simeq \mathbb{D}_{X_0}(\imath_*(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{X \setminus Y})).$$

(*d*) *The functor*
$$\mathfrak{M} \mapsto d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{X \setminus Y},$$
defines an equivalence of categories between $\mathfrak{D}_\mu(X, Y)$ *and the category of Pochhammer local systems on* $X \setminus Y$.

Definition 9. The equivalence of categories (*d*) is called the *Microlocal Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.*

Theorem 8.5. *Let* X *be a complex manifold. Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be the germ at a point* o *of a holonomic* $\mathfrak{D}_X$*-module. Assume that* $\mathfrak{M}$ *comes from an* $\mathcal{E}_X$*-module. Let* Y_i, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, *be the irreducible components of the ramification locus* Y *of* $\mathfrak{M}$. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *be the Pochhammer local system associated to* $\mathfrak{M}$ *by the microlocal Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Then* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a local system of rank*
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} mult(Y_i)\ mult_{T^*_{Y_i} X}(\mathfrak{M}).$$

Moreover, $mult_{Y_i}(\mathcal{L}) = mult_{T^*_{Y_i}X}(\mathfrak{M})$, *for* $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Proof. Let (S, o) be a germ of a smooth complex surface of X that is noncharacteristic relatively to $\mathfrak{M}$ (see [12] or [29]). Let $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. We can assume that the canonical 1-form of T^*X equals $\eta dy + \sum_{r=1}^n \xi_r dx_r$, Y_i is defined by a Weierstrass polynomial $y^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j(x) y^j$ and S equals $\{x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0\}$. Hence $Y_i \cap S$ is a union of irreducible plane curves Y_{ij} such that

$$\mathrm{mult}(Y_i) = \sum_j \mathrm{mult}(Y_{ij}). \tag{25}$$

By (12),

$$\mathrm{mult}_{Y_i}(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{X\setminus Y}) = \mathrm{mult}_{Y_{ij}}(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{S\setminus Y}). \tag{26}$$

Set $\Gamma_i = T^*_{Y_i}X$ and $\Gamma_{ij} = T^*_{Y_{ij}}S$. Let us show that

$$\mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i}X}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_{ij}}S}(\mathfrak{M}|_S). \tag{27}$$

Since the multiplicity of $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{har}(\mathfrak{M})|_S$ is calculated at a generic point of Γ_{ij}, we can assume that $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{har}(\mathfrak{M}) = \Gamma_i \cup T^*_X X$, $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{har}(\mathfrak{M}|_S) = \Gamma_{ij} \cup T^*_S S$,

$$\Gamma_i = \{y = \xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0\}, \qquad \Gamma_{ij} = \{(x_1, y; \xi_1, \eta) : y = \xi_1 = 0\}.$$

By Theorem 3.4.2 c) of [29] its enough to show that

$$\rho_* \varpi^* [\Gamma_i] = [\Gamma_{ij}], \tag{28}$$

where $\varpi : S \times_X T^*X \hookrightarrow T^*X$ and $\rho : S \times_X T^*X \to T^*S$ is defined by

$$\rho(x_1, y; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta) = (x_1, y, \xi_1, \eta).$$

By Apendix D of [29], in order to prove (28) it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}} \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}/I_{\Gamma_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X}/T_{\Gamma_i \cap S\times_X T^*X} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}\rho_* \mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X}/I_{\Gamma_i \cap S\times_X T^*X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T^*S}/I_{\Gamma_{ij}}. \tag{30}$$

Let $\mathcal{K}^*$ be the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ with respect to $y_1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X} \otimes_{\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}} \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{K}$ is the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X}$ with respect to $y_1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ and

$$\varpi^{-1}(\Gamma_i) = \{(x_1, y, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta) : y = \xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}} \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}/I_{\Gamma_i} \simeq$$

$$\simeq \mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X} \otimes_{\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}} \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X}/I_{\Gamma_{ij}}.$$

Hence (29) holds.

The map ρ induces an homeomorphism $\widehat{\rho}$ from $\Gamma_i \cap S \times_X T^*X$ onto Γ_{ij}. We wil denote by $\imath$ the maps $\Gamma_i \hookrightarrow S \times_X T^*X$, $\Gamma_{ij} \hookrightarrow T^*S$. If $\mathcal{I}^*$ is a flabby resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_i \cap S\times_X T^*X}$,

$$\mathbb{R}\rho_* \mathcal{O}_{S\times_X T^*X}/I_{\Gamma_i \cap S\times_X T^*X} \simeq \rho_* \imath_* \mathcal{I}^* \simeq \imath_* \rho_{0*} \mathcal{I}^*. \tag{31}$$

Since $\imath_* \rho_{0*} \mathcal{I}^*$ is a flabby resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{T^*S}/I_{\Gamma_{ij}}$, (30) holds.

By (25), (26) and (27), we can assume that X is a surface. By Lemma 2.2 of [21], $H^j(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})) = 0$ for each $j \neq 0, 1$. By statement (3) of [21], $H^j(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}))_o = 0$ for each j. By (24) we can replace in Theorem 8.3 $\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M})$ by $d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})$. Applying Kashiwara's Index Theorem at the points o, $b \in X \setminus Y$ and $b_i \in Y_i \setminus \{o\}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we conclude that

$$\dim H^0(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}))_b \;=\; \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M}) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{mult}_o(Y_i)\ \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i} X}(\mathfrak{M}), \tag{32}$$

and

$$\mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M}) - \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i} X}(\mathfrak{M}) \;=\; \dim H^0(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}))_{b_i} - \dim H^1(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}))_{b_i}. \tag{33}$$

By Theorem 8.4, $H^1(d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M}))_{b_i}$ vanishes for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{P}ochh^*(X, Y)$ be the category of Pochhammer local systems on $X \setminus Y$ such that the Verdier dual of $\imath_* d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{X\setminus Y}$ is concentrated in degree 0.

Theorem 8.6. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module that comes from an $\mathcal{E}_X$-module. The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *$\mathfrak{M}$ is a special $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module.*
(b) *$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O})$ vanishes.*
(c) *$d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{X\setminus Y}$ is in $\mathcal{P}ochh^*(X, Y)$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is special, (b) follows from (24).

Let us show that $\mathcal{M}$ is special when (b) holds. By Theorem 8.4 (b), it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O})_o$ vanishes. By Kashiwara's Index Theorem, $\dim \mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O})_o$ equals

$$\mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} C_o(Y_i) \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i} X}(\mathfrak{M}). \tag{34}$$

By the arguments of the proof of Theorem 8.5, we can assume that Y is a plane curve. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ comes from an $\mathcal{E}_X$-module, it follows from Theorem 8.5 that (34) vanishes.

The equivalence between statements (b) and (c) follows from Theorem 8.4 (c). $\square$

Corollary 8.7. *The functors $\mathfrak{M} \mapsto d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})|_{X\setminus Y}$ and $\mathfrak{M} \mapsto \mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M})|_{X\setminus Y}$ define equivalences of categories between $\mathcal{S}pecial(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}ochh^*(X, Y)$.*

Theorem 8.8. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module that comes from an $\mathcal{E}$-module, with ramification locus Y. Let Y_i, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, be the irreducible componentes of Y. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Pochhammer local system associated to $\mathfrak{M}$ by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Let σ_i be the sum of the geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the local monodromy of $\mathcal{L}$ around Y_i, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.*

Then $\sigma_i \leq mult_{Y_i}(\mathfrak{M})$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. If $\sigma_i = mult_{Y_i}(\mathfrak{M})$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $\mathfrak{M}$ is a special $\mathfrak{D}$-module.

Proof. Let $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Since $\sigma_i \leq \mathrm{mult}_{Y_i}(\mathcal{L})$, Theorem 8.5 implies that $\sigma_i \leq \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i}X}(\mathfrak{M})$.

Assume that σ_i equals $\mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i}X}(\mathfrak{M})$ for each i. Applying the Kashiwara index theorem at a regular point b_i of Y that belongs to Y_i, we have,

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M}))_{b_i} \;=\; \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M}) - \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i}X}(\mathfrak{M}) + \dim H^1(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M}))_{b_i}.$$

Since

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{M}))_{b_i} \leq H^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathfrak{M}))_b - \sigma_i = \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_X X}(\mathfrak{M}) - \mathrm{mult}_{T^*_{Y_i}X}(\mathfrak{M}),$$

$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O})$ vanishes outside the singular locus of Y. By the support conditions for perverse sheaves, $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O})$ vanishes. □

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module and let φ be a section of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the left ideal consisting of sections P of $\mathfrak{D}_X$ such that $P\varphi = 0$. We will identify the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_X\varphi$. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a coherent $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module, we will say that $\mathfrak{M}$ is *generated by its solution* φ.

Let Ω be a polydisc of $\mathbb{C}^n$ centered at 0. Let Y be a germ of hypersurface of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Let $\tau_0 : \widetilde{\Omega} \to \Omega \setminus Y$ be the universal covering of $\Omega \setminus Y$. Let $j : \Omega \setminus Y \hookrightarrow \Omega$ be the open inclusion. Set $\tau = j\tau_0$. The sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \tau_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}$ is the called the *sheaf of multivalued holomorphic functions of* Ω *ramified along* Y. The sheaves $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O}$ are $\mathfrak{D}_\Omega$-modules.

Theorem 8.9. *Let Y be a germ of an hypersurface of a complex manifold X. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a special $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module with ramification locus Y. There is a germ of a multivalued holomorphic function f ramified along Y such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_X f$.*

Proof. By theorems 4.5.2 and 5.1.1 of [13], there is $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_X\varphi$. There are differential operators $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_X/(\mathfrak{D}_X P_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{D}_X P_m)$. Moreover, there is a free resolution

$$\mathfrak{D}_X^n \to (P_{ji})\mathfrak{D}_X^m \to (P_i)\mathfrak{M} \to 0. \tag{35}$$

Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(*, \mathcal{O})$ to (35) we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}) \to (P_j)\mathcal{O}^m \to (P_{ij})\mathcal{O}^n. \tag{36}$$

Let $f_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ be a representative of φ. Since $P_j f_0 \in \mathcal{O}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, $\sum_{j=1}^m P_{ij}(P_j f_0) = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathfrak{D}_X}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O})$ vanishes, there is $g \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $P_j g = P_j f_0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. We take $f = f_0 - g$. □

Definition 10. We say that the germ of a multivalued holomorphic function is a *special function* if it generates a special $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module.

8.2.1. *Examples.* Consider $X = \mathbb{C}$ and set $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}} x\partial_x$. The $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $\mathfrak{M}$ is generated by its holomorphic microfunction solution $\log x + \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Remark that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) = Coker\ x\partial_x : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{37}$$

does not vanish. The only multivalued holomorphic solutions of $\mathfrak{M}$ are the constant functions. Since the germ of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{C}}1$ at the origin equals $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\{x\}\oplus\partial_x\mathbb{C}[\partial_x]$, there is no germ of multivalued holomorphic solution of $\mathfrak{M}$ that generates $\mathfrak{M}$.

Consider now $X = \mathbb{C}^m$ with coordinates $(x, y, t_1, \ldots, t_{m-2})$. Set $Y = \{(x, y, t) : y^k - x^n = 0\}$, where $(k, n) = 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Set $\vartheta = x\partial_x + (n/k)\partial_y$. Given complex numbers λ_i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$\lambda_{i+k} = \lambda_i, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_i := \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} + \frac{n-k}{k}$$

is a nonnegative integer, we will denote by $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda_i)}$ the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module given by generators u_i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and relations

$$u_{i+k} = u_i, \qquad (\vartheta - \lambda_i)u_i = 0, \quad \partial_x u_i = -\frac{n}{k}x^{\alpha_i}\partial_y u_{i+1}, \quad \partial_{t_j} u_i = 0, \ \forall j.$$

The systems $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda_i)}$ have characteristic variety $T^*_Y X \cup T^*_X X$ and multiplicity 1 along $T^*_Y X$, and henceforth are regular holonomic. By Theorem 5.2 of [22] there is a denumerable subset Ξ of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda_i)}$ is special if and only if $\lambda_i \notin \Xi$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By Theorem 4.6 of [22] the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda_i)}$ verifying the conditions above are the only special $\mathfrak{D}$-modules with characteristic variety $T^*_Y X \cup T^*_X X$ and multiplicity 1 along $T^*_Y X$. By Theorem 5.3 of [22] $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda_i)}$ is generated by a multivalued holomorphic function of the type

$$y^a \left(\frac{y^k}{x^n}\right)^b F\left(\frac{y^k}{x^n}\right),$$

where F is a ${}_kF_{k-1}$ hypergeometric function.

Next result follows from theorems 7.1, 7.2, 8.4 and 8.8.

Theorem 8.10. *Let Y be a weigthed homogeneous plane curve with irreducible components Y_i, $i \in I$. Let λ_i, $i \in I$, be complex numbers such that $\lambda_i \neq 0, 1$. There is an irreducible special $\mathfrak{D}$-module $\mathfrak{M}$ with solutions ramified along Y such that the following hold for each $i \in I$:*

(a) *$\mathfrak{M}$ has multiplicity 1 along the conormal of Y_i.*
(b) *The special eigenvalue of the local monodromy of $d\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{M})$ around Y_i equals λ_i.*

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Pierre Deligne [3] for his valuable remarks on [26].

References

[1] L. Ahlfors, Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill (1953)
[2] J.-E. Bjork, Analytic $\mathfrak{D}$-modules and Applications, (Kluwer 1993).
[3] P. Deligne, Letter to P. C. Silva, (21.12.01).

[4] M. Deteweiler and S. Reiter, On Rigid Tuples in Linear Groups of Odd Dimension, Journal of Algebra, **222**, (1999) 550-560.
[5] D. Eisenbud W. Neumann, Three-dimensional link theory and invariants of plane curve singularities, Princ. Univ. Press, Annals of Mathematics Studies **110** (1985).
[6] E. Formanek, Braid group representations of low degree, Proc. London Math. Soc. **73** (1996), 279-322.
[7] Y. Haraoka, Finite monodromy of Pochhammer equation, Annales de l'institut Fourier tome **44**, 3, (1994) 767-810.
[8] Y. Haraoka, Integral Representations of Solutions of Differential Equations Free from Accessory Parameters, Advances in Mathematics, **169** (2002) 187-240.
[9] Y. Haraoka, Studies on Deformation of Fuchsian Systems from the Viewpoint of Rigidity, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B5** (2008), 51-60.
[10] Y. Haraoka, T. Yokoyama, Construction of rigid local systems and integral representations of their sections, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), 255-271.
[11] K. Iwasaki, H. Kimura, S. Shimomura, M. Yoshida, From Gauss to Painlevé - A Modern Theory of Special Functions, Aspects of Mathematics, **E16** Vieweg (1991).
[12] M. Kashiwara, Systems of microdifferential equations, Progress in Mathematics, **34** Birkhauser, (1983) (notes by Teresa Monteiro Fernandes).
[13] M. Kashiwara, T. Kawai, On holonomic systems of microdifferential equations. III: Systems with regular singularities Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **17**, (1981) 813–979.
[14] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Sheaves on Manifolds, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **292**, Springer Verlag (1991).
[15] N. M. Katz, Rigid Local Systems, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, **139** (1996).
[16] M. Kohno, Global Analysis In Linear Differential Equations, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1999).
[17] D. T. Lê, Plane Curve Singularities and Carousels, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **53**, 4 (2003), 1117-1139.
[18] T. Y. Lam, K. H. Leung, On Vanishing Sums of Roots of Unity, Journal of Algebra, **224**, (2000) 91-109.
[19] Z. Mebkouth, Le formalisme des six operations de Grothendieck pour les D-modules coherents, Travaux en cours, **35**, Hermann, Paris,(1989).
[20] A. H. M. Levelt, Hypergeometric Functions, Indagationes Mathematicae **23**, 4 (1961), 361-401.
[21] O. Neto, Microlocal Riemann-Hilbert Correspondence, Compos. Math., **127** (2001), 229-241.
[22] O. Neto, P. C. Silva, On regular holonomic systems with solutions ramified along $y^k = x^n$, Pacific Journal, **207**, (2002), 463-487.
[23] O. Neto, P. C. Silva, The fundamental group of an algebraic link, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I., **340** (2004), 141-146.
[24] O. Neto, P. C. Silva, Higher dimensional rigid local systems (in preparation).
[25] M. Sato, M. Kashiwara, T. Kimura, T. Oshima, Microlocal Analysis of Prehomogeneous Vector Spaces, Inventiones Mathematicae, (1980) 117-179.
[26] P. C. Silva, On a class of local systems associated to plane curves, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I. **335** (2002), 421-426.
[27] P. C. Silva, Estrutura Local dos sistemas holónomos regulares, Ph.D Thesis, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa (2003).
[28] C. T.Simpson, Products of Matrices, Differential geometry, Global Analysis, and Topology, Canadian Math. Soc. Conf. Proc., Amer. Math. Soc. **12**, (1992) 157-185.
[29] P. Schapira, Microdifferential Systems in the Complex Domain, Springer verlag (1985).
[30] T. Yokoyama, Construction of systems of differential equations of Okubo normal form with rigid monodromy, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), 327-348.

Universidade de Lisboa, Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais, Av. Gama Pinto, 2 1699-003 Lisboa, Portugal, and Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande 1749-016, Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: orlando60@gmail.com

Universidade de Lisboa, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Centro de Estudos Florestais, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017, Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: pcsilva@isa.utl.pt